




Andrew M. Cuomo
Governor

Michael C. Green
Executive Deputy Commissioner



OPERATION IMPACT

Annual Report - 2013

New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services
80 South Swan Street
Albany, New York 12210
www.criminaljustice.ny.gov

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Operation IMPACT Funding	3
	A. Award Process	3
	B. Personnel.....	5
	C. Initiatives.....	5
	D. Benefits of IMPACT Funding to Local Agencies	7
III.	Partnership Highlights by County	7
IV.	State Agency Contributions	29
V.	Statistical Reports	Appendix A

I. INTRODUCTION

The New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS) implemented Operation IMPACT in 2004, targeting the 17 New York State counties that account for more than 80 percent of Part 1 index crime in the state outside of New York City.¹ This initiative has provided participating law enforcement agencies with the information, tools and resources necessary to implement a data-driven approach to policing. In 2014, New York State announced the transition from IMPACT to the Gun Involved Violence Elimination (GIVE) initiative. GIVE will assist the same jurisdictions as IMPACT, but will require those jurisdictions to focus exclusively on reducing and preventing shootings and firearm-related homicides. The GIVE initiative will build upon the information sharing and partnerships developed under Operation IMPACT, while expanding the use of crime analysis and evidence-based practices to assist in the reduction of firearm-related homicides and shootings.

Operation IMPACT is a highly focused initiative, with a strong emphasis on law enforcement partnerships, crime analysis, intelligence development and information sharing. To ensure Operation IMPACT resources are funneled to the appropriate areas, the jurisdiction that accounts for the highest volume of violent crime within each county is named as the “primary jurisdiction” and is the focus of the IMPACT crime reduction efforts. The table below lists the 17 participating counties and their respective primary jurisdiction police departments.

COUNTY	PRIMARY JURISDICTION POLICE DEPARTMENT
Albany	Albany City Police Department
Broome	Binghamton City Police Department
Chautauqua	Jamestown City Police Department
Dutchess	Poughkeepsie City Police Department
Erie	Buffalo City Police Department
Monroe	Rochester City Police Department
Nassau	Nassau County Police Department
Niagara	Niagara Falls City Police Department
Oneida	Utica City Police Department
Onondaga	Syracuse City Police Department
Orange	Newburgh City Police Department
Rensselaer	Troy City Police Department
Rockland	Spring Valley Village Police Department
Schenectady	Schenectady City Police Department
Suffolk	Suffolk County Police Department
Ulster	Kingston City Police Department
Westchester	Yonkers City Police Department

¹ Part 1 crimes are the seven index crimes reported to DCJS by all New York State law enforcement agencies as part of the federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program. They are violent crimes of: **murder, rape, robbery, and aggravated assault**; and the property crimes of **burglary, larceny, and motor vehicle theft**.

A. Law Enforcement Partnerships

The crux of Operation IMPACT is having in place strong, solid law enforcement partnerships from which to draw resources, expertise and assistance. Each of the 17 Operation IMPACT counties has assembled an IMPACT partnership composed of representatives from all levels of law enforcement. The partnership is co-chaired by the primary jurisdiction's chief or commissioner of police and the county district attorney. The other agencies represented are the county Sheriff's Office and Probation Department; New York State Police; state Department of Corrections and Community Supervision (DOCCS); and various federal law enforcement agencies such as the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Bureau of Alcohol Tobacco and Firearms (ATF), the U.S. Marshal's Service, and the United States Attorney's Office. Each year, applications submitted for Operation IMPACT funding must include a memorandum of understanding signed by authorized individuals from each of these agencies that indicates their willingness to contribute to the crime reduction efforts within those jurisdictions and counties.

In addition to the 17 primary police departments, three of the partnerships include secondary police departments as participating agencies. The violent crime volume in these jurisdictions doesn't reach the level of the primary jurisdiction but is substantial enough to warrant participation in the program. Those jurisdictions are the Hempstead Police Department (Nassau County), Middletown Police Department (Orange County) and Mt. Vernon Police Department (Westchester County).

The benefit of law enforcement partnerships is that they afford the ability to harness numerous resources, focusing them on the most persistent crime problems within each IMPACT jurisdiction. Each individual agency offers different perspectives, skill sets and resources to law enforcement. In addition, partnerships allow resources to be coordinated, avoiding the potential for having two different agencies expend time, money and effort investigating the same offender or incident.

B. The Analysis of Timely, Accurate Crime Data

Crime analysis has proven to be the strongest component of IMPACT. The benefit of a crime analysis program is that it creates a crime "picture" based on the timely and accurate data collected and reported by each police department. IMPACT law enforcement agencies are contractually required to submit their Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Part 1 index crime reports within 30 days of the end of the reporting month. The quality of the crime data being reported by the IMPACT agencies has been both expanded and improved by working closely with the DCJS Crime Reporting and Data Quality Unit. IMPACT jurisdictions also submit a shooting incident and victim report to DCJS monthly so that this important measure of crime can be tracked.

As the quality and timeliness of the crime data improved, agency command staff began to use the data to make informed decisions about when, where and what type of resources to deploy in response to the patterns and trends revealed through the analysis of crime. Today, IMPACT

can lay claim to the fact that every IMPACT chief or designated command staff member meets frequently with other staff members to review recent crime trends and develop a plan to address those trends.

C. Intelligence Development and Information Sharing

Another critical outcome of Operation IMPACT is the enhanced ability of the IMPACT law enforcement agencies to cultivate intelligence and share information with other partner agencies within their specific county and other IMPACT jurisdictions. One of the first goals of Operation IMPACT was to encourage each participating agency to find ways to share the information at their disposal with their partners. This included information about their most persistent crime problems, the areas within their jurisdiction with the highest volume of crime and current investigations, for example. This concept, although seemingly simple, meant breaking down barriers that existed among law enforcement agencies for decades. The sharing of information began simply, with partners exchanging information around the table at monthly Operation IMPACT meetings. It has now evolved to near-daily sharing of intelligence and information through regular joint operations and details, shared databases, intelligence bulletins and more.

In addition, all 17 partnerships utilize the field intelligence concept to facilitate this regular flow of information among agencies. Almost every participating IMPACT law enforcement agency has a field intelligence officer (FIO) who works to obtain as much intelligence as possible through the regular debriefing of arrestees, probationers, parolees, inmates and other persons of interest. Unlike interrogation, debriefing is an interview method used to obtain actionable intelligence – information that might prove useful in solving other, unrelated crimes and paint a more complete picture of the crime conditions within a given jurisdiction. In nearly all 17 counties, the FIOs within a partnership work closely together, in some instances even becoming a stand-alone unit. They coordinate the gathering of criminal intelligence based on the particular crime trends of the previous week or month. This maximizes their effectiveness, as the team is then able to determine the exact topic and population to target when conducting their debriefings. For instance, if crime analysis indicates that a cluster of burglaries has occurred in a particular area, the probation and parole FIOs, in concert with the police department and sheriff's office FIOs, might debrief all of the people under supervision for a charge of burglary or those that live in the cluster area. The FIOs share all of the information they obtain with patrol, investigative, supervisory and command staff to enhance their ability to conduct day-to-day operations.

II. OPERATION IMPACT FUNDING

A. Award Process

Each spring, the DCJS Office of Public Safety (OPS) releases a competitive request for assistance (RFA) for funding to support Operation IMPACT crime reduction initiatives. In 2013, DCJS staff analyzed reported Part 1 crimes and provided IMPACT agencies with target crimes of focus. These crimes were chosen based on the latest data available and focused on

crimes that were trending upward in each jurisdiction. The RFA is specific, guiding applicants to provide the strategy they propose to address the identified crimes; the role that each partner agency, whether receiving funding or not, will play in implementing the proposed strategy; and the amount of funding that they believe will be required to effectively implement their proposed strategy.

Each of the 17 participating partnerships must submit a single, comprehensive application. This was originally designed to facilitate a deeper level of collaboration between the various law enforcement agencies that had traditionally worked independently and, for the most part, had different missions. The belief was that by working together to analyze the crime, develop the strategy and submit the applications, the participating agencies would have a common goal for which to strive.

Agencies eligible to apply for and receive funding are the district attorney’s office, the primary police department, secondary police departments, the sheriff’s office and the probation department. The 2013-14 IMPACT RFA was open to only those agencies that received a 2012-13 award.

New York State and federal agencies are not eligible to apply for funding under this initiative, but the strategy proposed through each application must include the active participation and contributions of these agencies.

Once the applications were received, OPS staff reviewed them and made funding recommendations to the DCJS Executive Deputy Commissioner. Recommendations are based on the strength of the proposed crime reduction strategy; prior year compliance with program and contract requirements; and the volume of crime within the primary jurisdiction and the county. The 2013-14 Operation IMPACT awards were as follows:

County	Award Amount
Monroe	\$1,722,980
Erie	\$1,467,600
Westchester	\$1,405,750
Suffolk	\$1,185,880
Onondaga	\$1,093,300
Nassau	\$1,078,651
Albany	\$863,200
Orange	\$647,450
Schenectady	\$721,900
Niagara	\$601,900
Rensselaer	\$488,900
Oneida	\$430,200
Broome	\$381,700
Dutchess	\$340,375
Rockland	\$200,800
Ulster	\$249,200
Chautauqua	\$236,600

B. Personnel

In 2013, Operation IMPACT funding supported **110 positions** including police officers and investigators, assistant district attorneys and DA's investigators, probation officers, sheriff's deputies, crime analysts and field intelligence officers. Approximately 30 percent of the funded positions are dedicated to the crime analysis and intelligence development and information sharing facets of the program. IMPACT funding supports **22 crime analysts** and **33 field intelligence officers**. This is an excellent illustration of the partnerships' commitment to those two components of the program and how they have become standard operating procedure within participating agencies.

C. Initiatives

Each year, the Operation IMPACT partnerships are required to develop a comprehensive strategy to address Part 1 crime, particularly violent crime, within the primary jurisdiction. Each strategy is comprised of different initiatives and each initiative is intended to address a particular crime problem or problems.

The following initiatives are the most common to the crime reduction strategies developed by the 17 county partnerships.

- 1. Directed/Saturation Patrols** – These patrols, often supported through Operation IMPACT funding, are planned using the most up-to-date crime data available so that they are conducted at the appropriate time, and days of the week, targeting the crime hot spots of the jurisdiction, thereby having the maximum effect on identified spikes in crime. These patrols are generally led by the primary police department, but often include major contributions of time and staffing by the county sheriff's office, the New York State Police and, in some instances, secondary police departments.
- 2. Probation/Parole Home Visits** – Probation and parole officers have easier access to the people they supervise than the police. They can conduct random home visits, for example, and probation and parole conditions often include curfews, drug and/or alcohol abstinence and prohibition on being in bars or other drinking establishments. As such, the police departments in all 17 primary IMPACT jurisdictions generally include probation/parole joint home visits as part of their strategy. Crime analysis is used to hone the focus of these visits. For example, if robbery is spiking, the police and probation/parole officers may visit all those under supervision for a robbery offense, or all probationers or parolees that live in the area where the increase is occurring. These initiatives have been very successful in not only obtaining actionable intelligence but also in making those still under supervision aware that their officers are teaming up with the police in a focused manner.

There are variations on the home visit initiative. Joint operations between police and probation/parole have been conducted at problem establishments as part of a focused effort to build a case against a particular bar or social club. These operations often include the New York State Liquor Authority and other local departments (code, health, fire, etc.). Operation IMPACT funding provides many probation departments in particular with the opportunity to conduct these off-hours details. Parole has conducted “Meet and Greet” operations, requiring their parolees to report to the police station at a predetermined date and time where they are then drug-tested, searched and debriefed.

3. **Warrant Sweeps** – Operation IMPACT funding is often used to conduct warrant sweeps, which seek offenders with more serious warrants or to apprehend offenders who have outstanding warrants and a previous history of violent crime. Sex offenders and individuals with outstanding domestic violence charges also are targeted through these sweeps.
4. **Chronic Offender Initiatives** – There are several different variations of this initiative, but the main concept is a heightened level of accountability for the most serious offenders by identifying and focusing on those who are known to commit a disproportionate percentage of the jurisdiction’s violent crime. The work of crime analysts is critical to these initiatives, as they generally use very specific criteria to develop the list of chronic offenders. Once the list is developed, other initiatives such as home visits and warrant sweeps can be used to hold these offenders accountable if they are currently under supervision or have an outstanding warrant against them. The list is reviewed and updated regularly.
5. **Narcotics and Gang Operations** – A portion of every jurisdiction’s violent crime can be attributed to drug and gang activity. In addition to the violent crime associated with these two factors, open-air drug dealing and street-level gang activity can significantly reduce the quality of life within neighborhoods. Undercover operations, foot patrols, saturation patrols and programs designed to intervene in the aftermath of gang and gun violence have had some success in addressing these illegal activities.
6. **Enhanced Prosecution Efforts** – Most IMPACT strategies include some level of enhanced prosecution for violent offenses or firearm-related violent crime. Flagging IMPACT cases for vertical prosecution and creating no-plea zones within a jurisdiction are just two examples of how district attorneys’ offices target violent crime.
7. **Intelligence-Led Policing** – IMPACT agencies have been encouraged to utilize the services of their crime analysts to drill down into reported crimes to determine patterns as well as to attempt to predict future criminal activities. Additionally, the services of the Crime Analysis Centers throughout the state have been utilized to provide information on shared offenders as well as to share information on crime trends and success stories in combating and solving these crimes.

D. Benefits of IMPACT Funding to Local Agencies

Operation IMPACT provides reinforcement and critical funding that allows local agencies to maximize their ability to police effectively during difficult fiscal times. Law enforcement executives have repeatedly stated that without IMPACT funding, vital positions would be lost, the ability to analyze crime would significantly shrink and funding for additional, strategic operations would dry up, leaving them without the resources to stem crime. For several years now, IMPACT chiefs, commanding officers and other administrators have indicated that their approved complement of officers has shrunk, sometimes to levels not seen in 20 years, and their overtime budgets have been significantly reduced, leaving them to use their overtime to staff for regular patrol shifts. IMPACT provides the additional financial resources for targeted operations that make a difference.

All funding requested through IMPACT must reasonably outline why a requested budget item can't be supported in the agency's general budget. Budgets must also include clear justification as to how each particular item requested is vital to strategy implementation. Partner agencies that are eligible for funding use the support they get through this program in a variety of different ways. Whether the request is for personnel, equipment or consultant services, each funding area provides the support needed to successfully implement their strategies. Funding requests are carefully analyzed for need and funding awards are closely monitored.

III. PARTNERSHIP HIGHLIGHTS AND STRATEGIES

Each of the 17 IMPACT partnerships uses a significant portion of its funding to implement their strategies to reduce violent crime. Police departments receive little to no funding within their operating budget to develop and conduct targeted anti-crime initiatives beyond their daily operations.

IMPACT funding provides this valuable support but with ample oversight from DCJS to ensure strategies are focused and tied into the overall goal to reduce specific Part I crimes. Each partnership has its own unique initiatives and in 2013, DCJS determined the targeted crimes each jurisdiction was required to focus.

A bulleted summary of each jurisdiction's targeted crime and their strategies to address them are as follows:

ALBANY COUNTY – City of Albany Police

Targeted Crimes: Firearms, Aggravated Assaults, and Burglary

Agency Personnel Funded Through Operation IMPACT

- The Albany Police Department has a full-time intake specialist to assist domestic violence victims with the criminal justice process and provide victim services referral; a youth aide to track juvenile crime and coordinate the provision of education, services, and viable alternatives to the offender and his/her family; a supervising crime analyst, crime analyst and geospatial information system mapping technician to provide analytical support to the department and partner agencies.
- The Albany County District Attorney's Office has a dedicated gang and safe home/safe streets prosecutors.
- The Albany County Sheriff's Office and Probation Department utilize IMPACT funding for dedicated field intelligence officers (FIO) that are assigned on a part-time basis to the Albany Crime Analysis Center

Partnership Coordination

- Night Watch is a targeted effort to visit offenders identified as high-risk for repeated criminal offense and has served as a useful deterrent to fighting crime.
- The New York State Police continue to partner with the city of Albany through blue-grey patrols, which allow a trooper to ride with an Albany officer to enhance officer safety and increase the police presence in identified high-crime areas.
- The Albany County District Attorney's Office Operation Speeding Bullet addresses gun crimes by having a senior assistant district attorney handle all gun possession arrests and prosecutions.
- The Albany IMPACT partnership participates in Operation Gun Halt (The Tracy Meares Offender Forum), a program specifically designed to reduce gun related crimes focusing on previously convicted felons on supervision for a violent criminal act.
- Directed patrols, investigations and operations targeting gun violence increased recovered crime guns from 90 guns in 2012 to 126 in 2013, a 40% increase.
- Albany County Probation partnered with Albany Police Detectives and Albany County Sheriff's Department to conduct home visits of probationers that are at risk of participating in criminal activities including violent crime and domestic violence.

- The partnership enhanced its relationship with the New York State Department of Corrections and Community Supervision by increasing the sharing of intelligence, collaboration on investigations, and debriefs of parolees.
- The IMPACT-funded domestic violence intake specialist is responsible for making contact with all victims of domestic violence, even where no criminal offense occurred. The intake specialist is also responsible for data entry into the domestic violence database and with assisting in the preparation of accusatory instruments. The intake specialist is responsible for assisting victims and their children in finding safe housing, as well as referrals for domestic violence counseling.
- The Albany County Sheriff's FIO plays an instrumental role in obtaining actionable intelligence from people incarcerated at the county jail.
- The Albany County District Attorney's Office continues to target nuisance properties through the Safe Homes- Safe Streets initiative. This program has assisted in 19 drug houses being shut down, 100 evictions, three exclusionary stipulations, the training of more than 800 landlords and the registration of 1086 properties.
- Prevention activities for at-risk youth facilitated by the District Attorney's Office served more than 200 youth.

BROOME COUNTY – City of Binghamton Police

Targeted Crimes: Robbery, Aggravated Assaults, and Burglary

Agency Personnel Funded through Operation IMPACT

- The Binghamton Police Department and Broome County Sheriff's office utilize IMPACT funding for two, full-time field intelligence officers (FIO). These officers play a crucial role in the gathering of intelligence related to gang and narcotics activity as well as the crimes of focus for the Broome IMPACT partnership.
- The Broome County District Attorney's Office utilizes IMPACT funding for a full-time assistant district attorney assigned to prosecute most crimes related to the IMPACT crimes of focus. The District Attorney's Office also utilizes a full-time, IMPACT-funded crime analyst to help analyze crime trends and employ effective crime reduction strategies based on timely data-driven intelligence.

Partnership Coordination

- The Broome County IMPACT partnership has conducted more than 120 initiatives aimed at reducing crime. These initiatives have been accomplished with the use of 1,800 personnel hours from the Binghamton Police Department and other partner agencies.

- The Binghamton Police Department continues to use a Compstat-style process in order to target specific strategies to reduce long-and short-term crime trends in the partnership area. These meetings are held regularly and utilize information gathered from the IMPACT-funded field intelligence officers and crime analyst.
- The Binghamton Police Department is finalizing a regional intelligence center that is to be located at the Binghamton Police Department headquarters. This center will be staffed with the IMPACT-funded field intelligence officers and crime analyst as well as additional personnel funded by the Binghamton Police Department and other outside agencies.
- The Binghamton Police Department continues to increase the use of closed circuit cameras to aid in crime reduction. There are currently 20 cameras placed in high-crime locations throughout the IMPACT zone.
- The Binghamton Police Department enhanced its ability to investigate property crimes by purchasing Leads-Online software with IMPACT funds.
- The IMPACT funded FIOs continue to supply actionable intelligence to all IMPACT partners, resulting in streamlined investigations and more comprehensive information gathering and sharing.

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY – City of Jamestown Police

Targeted Crimes: Robbery, Burglary and Larceny

Agency Personnel Funded through Operation IMPACT

- The Jamestown Police Department utilizes grant funding for a full-time crime analyst. The crime analyst provides support and coordination to the department's field intelligence network in order to collect and disseminate timely and accurate crime data and intelligence and works closely with command staff to assist in the effective and efficient deployment of law enforcement resources.
- The Chautauqua County District Attorney's Office receives funding for a full-time assistant district attorney dedicated exclusively to the prosecutorial needs of the Operation IMPACT jurisdiction.
- The Chautauqua County Sheriff's Office receives funding to partially support a full-time field intelligence officer (FIO) who collects and distributes field intelligence from an array of sources including regional and national law enforcement partners.

Partnership Coordination

- The Chautauqua County Operation IMPACT Partnership has successfully worked with agencies that do not have traditional law enforcement powers but have been effective in addressing crime issues and urban blight, including the Jamestown Department of Economic Development and the Jamestown Board of Public Utilities.
- The Jamestown Police Department utilizes a Joint Criminal Investigative Task Force composed of investigators assigned from the IMPACT partnership. The investigators assigned to the Task Force share investigative intelligence and have shared goals of arresting offenders. A fluid non-linear communication channel exists with all of the IMPACT partners, crime analysts, field intelligence officers and command staff who ensure that continuity of effort and strategies are maintained and, more importantly, achieved.
- The Jamestown Police Department has a very progressive Domestic Violence Unit that has provided data documenting a correlation between some crimes of focus and domestic violence. This has been enhanced by proactive enforcement efforts that have resulted in a dramatic decline in domestic violence related crimes of focus.

DUTCHESS COUNTY – City of Poughkeepsie Police

Targeted Crimes: Aggravated Assault, Larceny and Burglary

Agency Personnel Funded through Operation IMPACT

- The Dutchess County District Attorneys' Office utilizes IMPACT funding for a full-time assistant district attorney who oversees the Dutchess County Field Intelligence Group, the Dutchess County Violent Crime Task Force and prosecutes violent and chronic offenders arrested through the collaboration of the IMPACT agencies.

Partnership Coordination

- Driving the decrease in overall crimes of focus are increased saturation patrols, enhanced prosecution, increased handgun recoveries and tips received via the tip line. Handgun recoveries by the City of Poughkeepsie Police Department surpassed 60 in 2013, with 12 based on tips. The total number of gun tips in 2013 is up seven from 2012.
- In 2013, the IMPACT Initiatives ADA worked with the Dutchess County Office of Computer Information Services to develop and implement an online DNA Hit tracking program. This program exemplifies Dutchess County and its law enforcement partner's commitment to DCJS's DNA Hit Tracking Initiative and recognition of the value that DNA hits provide in open cases and investigations.

- The Dutchess IMPACT partnership, along with the assistance of the Dutchess County Field Intelligence Group, has continued its efforts to further strengthen the investigation and prosecution of gun crimes, burglaries and aggravated assaults through the implementation of a chronic offender list.
- The Poughkeepsie Police Department, in collaboration with other state and local law enforcement partners, aggressively targeted illegal vendors participating in the transfer of stolen goods. This resulted in numerous arrests and property seizures.
- The IMPACT prosecutor continues efforts to promote intelligence led policing, specifically by acting as the primary liaison to local law enforcement for the development of confidential sources, as well as creating and executing cooperation agreements relating to violent crime. Over the last six months, the IMPACT prosecutor has facilitated more than 20 proffers and has been instrumental in the negotiation and execution of numerous cooperation agreements.

ERIE COUNTY – City of Buffalo Police

Targeted Crimes: Firearms, Robbery, and Aggravated Assaults

Agency Personnel Funded through Operation IMPACT

- The Buffalo Police Department utilizes IMPACT funds to support a detective who is assigned to the U.S. Marshal's Violent Felony Task Force, a report technician to assist with Part 1 crime reports/data, a crime analyst as well as to run selective enforcement and targeted patrol operations.
- The Erie County District Attorney's Office receives funding for a senior IMPACT assistant district attorney who serves as the liaison between prosecutorial and law enforcement efforts, in addition to three assistant district attorneys, a crime analyst, a confidential investigator, a criminal intelligence specialist and a legal secretary supporting this personnel.
- For the Erie County Sheriff's Office, IMPACT funds support a field intelligence officer who debriefs individuals brought to the Erie County Holding Center.
- The Erie County Probation Department received funding for two probation officers and resources to work with the Buffalo Police canine unit on targeted home visits.
- Erie County Central Police Services uses IMPACT funding to support a senior evidence clerk tasked with the responsibility of receiving, logging and storing the firearms that are submitted to the laboratory, and also an assistant information systems specialist who assists computer operations and data facilitation.

Partnership Coordination

- A monthly meeting attended by partners of the Buffalo/County of Erie IMPACT Consortium has helped all members, local, state and federal, as it provides the venue to gather and share intelligence, as well as real time crime analysis, which has led to a 13.2 percent decrease in violent crime in Buffalo during the last five years, and a 2.3 percent decrease between January 2013 and October 2013. The Buffalo Police Department, with assistance from the Erie Crime Analysis Center (ECAC), FBI's Safe Streets Task Force, the District Attorney's Office and the US Attorney's Office, arrested 14 violent gang members in 2013.
- As a result of the various gun enforcement strategies put together by IMPACT Consortium members and supported with IMPACT overtime funds, shooting homicides have decreased by 19.44 percent from last year to this year, firearm use in a crime has gone down by 21.75 percent, and the number of shooting victims and shooting injuries have decreased by more than 28 percent.
- Buffalo Police have executed 39,734 warrants since IMPACT's inception and more than 4,855 in 2013 alone.
- Joint investigation into a rash of burglaries that occurred in Buffalo from April 2013 through July 2013 were the subject of intense investigation among partners including the FBI, Buffalo Police, Cheektowaga Police, Niagara Frontier Transit Police and the US Attorney's Office. Three individuals have been indicted separately on bank robbery charges that could lead to 20 years in prison.
- Burglary/Robbery Patrol Details were carried out in the "E" District in February/March. With data provided by the ECAC, "hotspot" neighborhoods were targeted in the District and details were set up accordingly. Officers responded to 116 calls for service for burglary, of which 87 crime reports were generated and assigned to Detectives for investigation, along with 32 robbery calls for service, which generated 32 crime reports assigned to Detectives. Due to the increased police presence, most other violent crimes also decreased, including assaults, which were down by 36 percent.
- The Buffalo/Erie County IMPACT partnership has worked closely with federal law enforcement partners on several major gang-related investigations.
- "Operation Restoration" was an IMPACT strategy initiated to restore "the luster and vitality to neighborhoods that make up the fabric of the city." Working with various partners, Buffalo Police raided 54 targeted homes and made 44 arrests, confiscating drugs, guns and an assortment of narcotics.
- The Erie County District Attorney's Office continues to enforce its no-plea policy for the crime of possessing an operable firearm. Through the end of October, prosecutors have

achieved a “guilty, as charged” conviction on 112 gun possession cases. Additionally, 181 convictions were attained on burglary and robbery cases.

- A criminal intelligence specialist (CIS) tracks the cases of violent repeat offenders as determined by the ECAC. The CIS is currently tracking 51 cases. The CIS has reviewed more than 270 warrant files through October; 146 warrants have been cleared due to their efforts.
- The IMPACT probation officers are, on average, supervising 83 offenders. The officers have conducted 297 home visits utilizing overtime funds allocated by IMPACT. Thus far, 18 operations (154 visits) have been conducted with the aid of a BPD Canine. IMPACT Officers participated in the service of 128 warrants. Probation conducted 216 debriefing interviews of probationers rearrested and also partnered with BPD at summer venues to reduce gang violence.
- The Erie County Central Police Services Forensic Lab received 425 firearms for testing as of the end of September. In addition, 227 firearms have been swabbed for DNA, and 165 gun swabs have gone through the DNA typing process at the request of the district attorney’s office and/or the U.S. Attorney’s Office.
- Buffalo’s Re-entry Task Force has made a significant improvement from last year to this year. It was recently reported that in the first quarter of 2013, the task force provided re-entry services to 88 percent of parolees/probationers, exceeding the DCJS anticipated rate of 50 percent.
- Since January 2012, the IMPACT Domestic Violence (DV) Crisis Case Manager has serviced 923 victims of domestic violence, a 44 percent increase over last year’s report. This project between the Buffalo Police Department and Crisis Services, which provides advocacy “pre-arraignment,” has had significant impact with victims who have historically encountered challenges and barriers to moving forward in the criminal justice process. Buffalo police has had 942 domestic assaults, accounting for close to 25 percent of Buffalo’s domestic incidents, and 88 percent of the reported DV incidents have resulted in an arrest.
- IMPACT funding also supports youth mentoring initiatives to break the cycle of violence in young people from high-risk areas. Those initiatives include Police Explorers Post #120, which participated in the Annual Law Enforcement Day Conference Competition and took 14 awards; Sts. Columba/Brigid Teen Center which served 257 youth, including 42 who were able to secure life skills and work experience from a summer job and the OMEGA Mentoring Group, which took a busload of 56 high school students to visit various colleges in and around Washington, DC.

MONROE COUNTY – City of Rochester Police

Targeted Crimes: Firearms, Robbery and Burglary

Agency Personnel Funded through Operation IMPACT

- The Monroe County District Attorney’s Office utilizes IMPACT funding to support eight full-time, experienced felony prosecutors. For the first nine months of 2013, these ADA’s were assigned 612 new IMPACT crime cases and obtained 333 indictments and 448 felony and misdemeanor convictions.
- The Monroe County Sheriff’s Office utilizes IMPACT funding to support a full-time criminal investigator assigned to the Monroe Crime Analysis Center and a full-time field intelligence officer assigned to the Monroe County Jail.
- The Monroe County Probation Department utilizes IMPACT funding to support a full-time field intelligence officer.

Partnership Coordination

- IMPACT overtime funding allows the Rochester Police Department (RPD) to run proactive details to combat patterns of violent and property crime as they are identified by the Monroe Crime Analysis Center. Overtime details are also used to target problem offenders as well as to intervene in violent disputes between gangs or drug crews. In 2013, 268 details were run: 52 percent to address firearm violence issues, 22 percent to address robbery patterns, 19 percent to address burglary patterns and the remainder targeted investigations of problem offenders or locations.
- In 2013, RPD continued to utilize Leads-Online to handle the process for electronic reporting of transactions from the city’s pawn, second-hand and scrap metal dealers. Through this service, RPD officers and investigators have near real-time access to transaction logs, enabling them to coordinate with the Monroe Crime Analysis Center on stolen property investigations with unprecedented speed and efficiency.
- The RPD utilized IMPACT grant money to supplement the “Hyper-reach” reverse dialing service that was a key component of a successful effort in 2012 to expand and improve engagement with citizens of neighborhoods affected by burglary problems. The service enables RPD to automatically call all listed phone numbers within a geographic area defined by a user. Improving citizen awareness has resulted in reduced opportunities for burglars, and in some instances, yielded useful tips that have led to burglary arrests in the target area. In 2013, the system contacted more than 35,000 residents across 61 burglary hot spots. In addition to using Hyper-reach, Neighborhood Service Center officers are assigned to canvas neighborhoods where burglary patterns are occurring, informing residents of the problem, offering personal safety tips and soliciting for investigative leads. When residents are not

home, officers leave door hangers (funded by IMPACT) that contain similar safety tips and contact information.

- The Monroe County Sheriff's Office conducted joint patrols with the Rochester Police Department during peak days for violent crime in late spring and throughout the summer, with officers writing 104 field interview forms, making 79 arrests and recovering 27 weapons.
- The Monroe County Probation Department has conducted 95 multi-agency warrant details, 11 search details, 48 IMPACT patrol details, three burglary details, and six special details that addressed specific gang activities. In addition, the Probation FIO led a team that conducted 185 home searches of probationers. All of these details were funded through the IMPACT grant. During those details, Probation recovered 44 long firearms, four handguns and 15 BB or replica guns.
- The Monroe County District Attorney's Office has been following policies for several years that severely restrict charge reductions for violent felony defendants, expand the use of grand juries to swiftly indict defendants charged with serious crimes and advocate that violent offenders receive the maximum sentences allowable under New York law. For the first nine months of 2013, 477 defendants prosecuted by IMPACT prosecutors were sentenced. Seventy-one percent of these defendants who were convicted of felony and misdemeanor charges were sentenced to at least some jail time, with 41 percent of these defendants being sentenced to state prison. The District Attorney's Office also continues to work closely with the U.S. Attorney's Office through the Project Exile program to refer for federal prosecution those individuals that when convicted federally, could face stiffer penalties for their crimes than they might receive in state court.
- IMPACT has also provided funding to the Boys and Girls Club of Rochester, allowing this community organization to increase the number of youth it enrolls in its Accelerated Reading Program and enhance the learning experience of its participants. In 2013, students in the Accelerated Reading Program at the Boys and Girls Club read and took comprehension tests for approximately 11,500 books, with an average comprehension rate of 86.2 percent, which is a 10 percent increase from when the program started. Many of these students raised their reading level at least two grade levels during the course of the year.
- In 2013, the District Attorney's Office also began a new "ride-along" and exchange program with RPD. The program assigns IMPACT prosecutors to work with RPD officers in the field to enhance prosecutions and working relationships, and conversely, assigned RPD officers to shadow assistant district attorneys.
- Probation continues as the lead agency in the DCJS-funded Monroe County Re-entry Task Force, coordinating the work of more than 40 agencies in an effort to curtail the high rate of recidivism by those offenders returning to the Rochester community from state or federal prison or from the Monroe County Jail. As Rochester state parole officers provide

supervision and direction, contracted re-entry caseworkers provide support in those areas that most often impact recidivism: housing, employment and mental health/substance abuse treatment.

NASSAU COUNTY – Nassau County Police

Targeted Crimes: Firearms, Robbery and Burglary

Agency Personnel Funded through Operation IMPACT

- The Nassau County District Attorney's Office utilizes IMPACT funding to support a full-time re-entry coordinator.
- The Nassau County Probation Department utilizes IMPACT funding to support a full-time field intelligence officer (FIO).
- IMPACT grant money also is utilized to support a full-time crime analyst for the Hempstead Police Department.

Partnership Coordination

- The Nassau County Police Department employs a Criminal Intelligence Rapid Response Team (CIRRT) consisting of police officers with varied backgrounds in narcotics, investigations and street-level awareness and supervision. The model of intelligence-led policing was utilized based on constant and actionable information stemming from field intelligence reports, crime mapping, debriefings, investigations and other proactive measures.
- The Gang Investigative Unit of the Nassau County Sheriff's Department continues to be a vital component of the intelligence sharing partnerships within the county.
- Probation visits through Operation Night Watch, Nite Lite, and Enhanced Community Watch continue to be a productive joint agency strategy employed by Operation IMPACT. Careful analysis of probationers results in visits that have provided arrests for guns, drugs and burglaries and which afford law enforcement and the District Attorney's office an occasion to debrief arrestees for further intelligence.
- The District Attorney's Office reports that the Council of Thoughts and Action (COTA), a re-entry based initiative, successfully obtained employment for 22 enrollees. In addition, COTA has provided job readiness programs for 24 enrollees, including resume preparation, interview techniques, and other skills required to gain employment. COTA also provided 54 participants with housing assistance; 104 with computer training and 50 participants obtained their GED.

NIAGARA COUNTY – City of Niagara Falls Police

Targeted Crimes: Firearms, Larceny and Aggravated Assaults

Agency Personnel Funded through Operation IMPACT

- The Niagara Falls Police Department utilizes IMPACT grant money to support a full-time crime analyst, field intelligence officer (FIO) and a part-time research partner.
- The Niagara County District Attorney’s Office utilized IMPACT funding to support two assistant district attorney positions. These positions are fully dedicated to prosecuting Operation IMPACT related crimes.
- The Niagara County Sheriff’s Office utilizes IMPACT funding to support a full-time field intelligence officer who is assigned to the Corrections Division.

Partnership Coordination

- During the summer of 2013, the FIO/Analyst Unit worked closely with the Office of Justice Programs (OJP – Department of Justice) conducting a Study and a Crime Reduction Strategy for the Downtown Tourist Area of Niagara Falls.
- The FIO/Analysis Unit has partnered with the Hilbert College Criminal Justice Program for the enhancement of personnel with very well qualified interns. They were all either seniors or graduate students that were hand-picked by the chair of the department. It greatly enhanced the ability to retrieve data and to produce useable products.
- The FIO/Analyst Unit continues to work with multiple federal, state and local agencies in dealing with the rapid increase of outlaw motorcycle gang (OMG) activity. This situation represents a substantial threat to not only the citizens of the area but also to law enforcement.
- The Niagara County District Attorney’s office continues to work with law enforcement to identify and target specific violent criminals, focusing on prosecuting serious and repeat offenders and violent criminals residing in Niagara County under Project GRAVE, ROTIN and other crime lists developed through intelligence. The IMPACT assistant district attorneys are responsible for primary control over those defendants listed on these crime lists and enforce a strict no-plea policy in those cases. This IMPACT team focuses on specific identified “worst of the worst” offenders, even for misdemeanors, to include consecutive sentencing for multiple misdemeanor crimes.
- The Niagara County District Attorney’s Office provided a comprehensive domestic violence training program to all members of the Niagara Falls Police Department. This training was entitled “Intimate Partner Violence and Strangulation” and was extremely detailed in

outlining investigation of strangulation cases from on scene arrival through prosecution, as well as lethality assessment.

- Overtime funding for probation supports the nighttime and weekend activities of officers conducting field visits to the homes of probationers. During these compliance check operations, probation officers, many times along with personnel from the Niagara County Sheriff's Office and the Niagara Falls Police Department (NFPD), have conducted authorized warrantless searches, warrant execution, curfew checks, alcohol tests, drug tests and computer/mobile device searches and have made efforts to ensure adherence to Orders of Protection. Compliance check operations focus on high risk adult and juvenile supervision, domestic violence offenders, sex offenders and DWI offenders, as well as problematic medium-risk offenders.

ONEIDA COUNTY - City of Utica Police

Targeted Crimes: Robbery, Burglary, and Larceny

Agency Personnel Funded through Operation IMPACT

- IMPACT grant money is used to support a total of three, full-time field intelligence officers (FIO) with the Utica Police Department and Oneida County Sheriff's Office.
- The Oneida County District Attorney's Office utilizes IMPACT funding to support a full-time assistant district attorney dedicated to burglary prosecutions in an effort to ensure vertical prosecution, develop a consistent plea policy, encourage constant communication with burglary investigators, arrange post-plea debriefs and handle all IMPACT-related business.
- The District Attorney's Office also uses IMPACT funding to contract with the Finn Institute to handle the responsibility of crime analysis for the entire county.

Partnership Coordination

- Through IMPACT funding, the Utica Police Department has purchased the computer software program Leads-Online to enhance their investigative capabilities for burglaries and larcenies.
- The Oneida County Sheriff's Office monitors 47 second-hand stores within the county through the use of Leads-Online, where all property is entered on the website by the second-hand store. This program has been an instrumental investigative tool for the IMPACT partnership and allows for a complete search of property and review of records to be performed in a matter of minutes, as opposed to the countless hours investigators previously invested.

- The Oneida County Probation Department collaborates with the Utica Police Department and community supervision partners to conduct home visits in high crime areas and execute warrants with its IMPACT funding. The Utica Police Department Burglary Unit has partnered with NYS Department of Corrections and Community Supervision (DOCCS) Officers and Oneida County Probation conducting home visits of parolees and probationers focusing these visits in areas of the city which have been identified as burglary “hot spots.” To date, more than 250 persons on parole and probation for a burglary offense have been spot checked.
- The IMPACT-funded assistant district attorney works closely with the FIOs and coordinates post-conviction debriefs as part of defendant’s plea arrangement, where each agency has a representative who debriefs the defendant with respect to opened, unsolved burglaries within their jurisdiction. In some cases, even police agencies from neighboring counties have been included. These coordinated multi-agency debriefings have resulted in the closure of more than 100 otherwise unsolved burglaries.
- The Utica Police Department continues to raise the level of efficiency and training pertaining to evidence technician officers and the work performed on the scene of burglaries. In 2013, 53 fingerprints were lifted and secured as evidence at the scene of burglaries resulting in the identification of suspects that lead to eight arrests. Additionally, 10 CODIS (DNA) hits were received identifying potential burglary suspects.
- The Utica Police Department’s Metro Narcotic Units, which utilizes an IMPACT-funded FIO, worked regularly with the NY/NJ Regional Fugitive Task Force, New York State Police Community Narcotics Enforcement Team (CNET), state Parole and state Probation, burglary units and FIOs, as well as our neighboring communities. The Metro unit had 131 search warrants executed this past cycle resulting in the seizure of nearly one pound of cocaine, more than 10 ounces of heroin and more than nine pounds of marihuana, plus more than \$88,000 in cash. The Metro Unit filed a total of 225 felony counts during this time span. In the past year, the Metro Unit seized a total of 13 hand guns, five rifles and 10 shotguns.
- Gun Reward Program investigations yielded a total of nine weapons; four pistols, three rifles and two shotguns. Each of the Gun Reward Program investigations resulted in the multi-agency execution of search warrants on persons and places.

ONONDAGA COUNTY – City of Syracuse Police

Targeted Crimes: Firearms, Robbery and Aggravated Assaults

Agency Personnel Funded through Operation IMPACT

- The Syracuse Police Department (SPD), Onondaga County Sheriff's Office, and the Onondaga County Probation Department all utilize IMPACT grant money to support a total of four full-time field intelligence officers (FIO) and one field intelligence supervisor.
- The Onondaga County District Attorney's Office utilizes IMPACT grant money to support three full-time assistant district attorneys assigned to IMPACT related crimes, and two full-time criminal investigators.
- The Onondaga County Sheriff's Office utilizes IMPACT grant money to support a full-time crime analyst that is assigned to the Onondaga Crime Analysis Center.

Partnership Coordination

- The Onondaga Crime Analysis Center (OCAC) provided firearms-related analysis for the monthly IMPACT meeting to provide the partnership with a clear picture of the current shootings/shots fired trends, hot spots, results of enforcement efforts and status of offenders arrested.
- IMPACT saturation patrols were deployed in the high crime areas. Highway Gun Interdiction directed patrols are conducted by the SPD, Onondaga County Sheriff's Office and New York State Police.
- Communication continued among members of the Onondaga County District Attorney's Office and members of the federal Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms to determine if any firearms cases would be better handled federally by the U.S. Attorney's Office.
- The Drug Interdiction Response Team is supported by two field intelligence officers with IMPACT funding. In the first nine months of 2013, these positions conducted 16 mid- to high-level narcotics investigations that involved more than 27 wiretaps and 40 search warrants. The FIOs also debriefed 115 defendants; 50 informants have been developed so far this year. Confidential criminal intelligence was shared among 21 law enforcement agencies that participate on the task force. These efforts in conjunction with the Task Force resulted in the arrests of 117 traffickers and the seizure of 11 pounds of cocaine, 11 pounds of marijuana, 15 pounds of other drugs including heroin, 13 guns and more than \$585,000 in cash. Eleven defendants were remanded to the US Attorney for federal prosecution.

- In addition to utilizing many of the same strategies utilized for firearms, SPD used second-hand dealer laws to target neighborhood stores, pawn shops and fencing operations. Stores and operations not in compliance were ticketed and owners arrested on various charges.
- The Onondaga consortium instituted a strategy known as Syracuse TRUCE. The objective of this strategy is to reduce youth involvement in gangs. Specific gang members in positions of influence/authority are brought in and confronted by law enforcement officials. Any acts of violence committed by a single member of any gang will bring intense pressure on the entire gang. Gang members are also invited to participate with various agencies to promote education, employment, medical services and mentoring. Parole and Probation both used the threat of technical violations to ensure the attendance of persons under supervision who may still be involved in gangs.

ORANGE COUNTY – City of Newburgh Police

Targeted Crimes: City of Newburgh – Firearms, Robbery, and Aggravated Assaults
City of Middletown – Robbery and Burglary

Agency Personnel Funded through Operation IMPACT

- The Newburgh Police Department and the Orange County Probation Department utilize IMPACT funding for two, full-time field intelligence officers (FIO).
- The Newburgh Police Department supported a full-time crime analyst with IMPACT funding.
- The Newburgh Police Department utilized IMPACT funding to support two, full-time neighborhood stabilization officers.
- The Orange County District Attorney’s utilized IMPACT funding to support a full-time criminal investigator.

Partnership Coordination

- The city of Newburgh Police Department’s (NPD) Neighborhood Stabilization Team conducts its activities based on current crime hot spots, specifically focusing on the IMPACT-targeted crimes occurring in an identified area of the city that contained a disproportionate amount of the crime.
- NPD conducted joint directed patrols with the Orange County Sheriff’s Office and New York State Police based on analysis of crime data.

- The city of Newburgh assigned a detective to focus solely on the crime of burglary. This resulted in an overall reduction in burglaries in the city of Newburgh for the second consecutive year.
- NPD utilized strategic deployment of both patrols and plain clothes units as crime patterns developed.
- NPD has developed strong partnerships with federal agencies and conducted joint investigations with those agencies.
- The Orange County Sheriff's Office conducted 49 joint patrol operations resulting in 16 felony arrests, 67 misdemeanor arrests, 160 violation arrests and 26 warrant arrests. Deputies also conducted warrant sweep operation during a four Sunday period. Thirty-six warrant checks were conducted during these operations.
- The Sheriff's Office also maintained the Digital Signage Program and loaded information in to the program which was distributed to the IMPACT partners.
- The Orange County Probation Office works very closely with the City of Newburgh Crime Analyst to disseminate the regional bulletins, and notify probation officers of probationer contact with the City of Newburgh Police, including the execution of warrants.
- The Probation Office FIO accompanies probation officers on home visits, up to 90 per month. At these home visits the FIO is able to debrief and gather intelligence from the probationers, relatives, others in the home and neighbors.
- The city of Middletown, in conjunction with other IMPACT partners, conducted numerous warrant sweeps that resulted in a total of 22 arrests.

RENSSELAER COUNTY – City of Troy Police

Targeted Crimes: Firearms, Robbery, and Burglary

Agency Personnel Funded through Operation IMPACT

- The Troy Police Department and the Rensselaer County Probation Office both utilize IMPACT funding to support full-time field intelligence officers.
- The Troy Police Department utilizes IMPACT funding to support a full-time domestic violence investigator.
- The Troy Police Department utilizes IMPACT funds to support a full-time crime analyst.

- The Rensselaer County District Attorney’s Office utilizes IMPACT funding to support a full-time drug/gang investigator and a half-time prosecutor assigned to IMPACT-related crimes

Partnership Coordination

- The partnership continues to coordinate investigations and criminal intelligence with the Albany Crime Analysis Center to ensure timely and accurate strategies geared toward reducing IMPACT-related crime.
- The Troy Police Department, along with state and local partners, conducts warrant sweeps targeted at known repeat/persistent offenders.
- The Troy Police Department utilized IMPACT funds to purchase a license plate reader (LPR) to enhance intelligence gathering.
- The Probation Department conducts joint operations with members of the county consortium targeted at persistent offenders and intelligence received through crime analysis.

ROCKLAND COUNTY - Village of Spring Valley Police

Targeted Crimes: Robbery, Burglary and Larceny

Agency Personnel Funded through Operation IMPACT

- The Spring Valley Police Department (SVPD) utilized IMPACT funding to support a crime analyst.

Partnership Coordination

- SVPD utilized saturation/directed patrols based on crime analysis.
- Initiation of Operation Life Line - a zero tolerance approach to street level narcotics distribution, weapon sales, prostitution, and gambling. In addition, Operation Life Line includes targeted details directed toward the prevention of street level robberies, assaults, burglaries and continued emphasis on maintaining compliance with parole and probation restrictions.
- The Special Investigation Unit (SIU)/Detective Bureau assisted Rockland County Probation and state DOCCS in conducting numerous compliance checks on probationers, sex offenders and parolees during 2013 and further assisted in a “meet and greet” operation. These operations resulted in six parole warrant arrests, one probation warrant arrest and helped to reinforce the restrictions put in place by the parole and probation systems.

- The partnership coordinated criminal investigations with other law enforcement agencies outside of the county in an effort to reduce cross-jurisdictional criminal activity.
- SVPD used IMPACT funds to enhance its “Safe Passage” corridor with additional CCTV cameras.
- The Rockland County District Attorney’s Office utilized equipment purchases funded through Operation IMPACT to support criminal investigations including investigations requiring wiretaps.
- Joint home visits with parole and probation, coordination of investigations through the SVPD SIU and the utilization of the Rockland County Drug Task Force were all methods and strategies deployed based on intelligence gathered and analysis of “hot spots” related to the crimes of focus
- The Spring Valley Intelligence Unit conducted the debriefing of prisoners, which has helped significantly in identifying both locations and subjects involved in illegal activity.

SCHENECTADY COUNTY – City of Schenectady Police

Targeted Crimes: Firearms, Aggravated Assaults, and Robbery

Agency Personnel Funded through Operation IMPACT

- The Schenectady Police Department and Schenectady County Probation Department utilize IMPACT funds to support a total of three, full-time field intelligence officers.
- The Schenectady Police Department employs a full-time crime analyst with IMPACT funding.
- The Schenectady County District Attorney’s Office utilizes IMPACT funding to support two full-time prosecutors assigned to IMPACT-related crimes.
- The Schenectady County District Attorney’s Office utilizes IMPACT funding to support a full-time gang intervention specialist assigned to gang-related crimes.
- The Schenectady County Sheriff’s Office utilizes IMPACT funds to support a correction officer responsible for gathering information related to IMPACT-related criminal activity.

Partnership Coordination

- The Schenectady Police Department utilizes Data-Driven Analysis for Crime and Traffic Safety (D-DACTS) to identify crime trends/hot spots and deploy resources to the areas identified.

- The Schenectady Police Department uses weekly intelligence reports produced and disseminated by the IMPACT-funded crime analyst unit to target IMPACT-targeted crime.
- Schenectady Police engaged in community based programs designed to divert at-risk youth into positive activities that will lessen the probability that they will become involved in criminal activity. These programs include a summer youth program, the Limits of Loyalty Program and work with the Schenectady County Boys and Girls Club.
- The IMPACT partners collaborated in a joint operation that played a critical role in the dismantling of a violent street gang with the Safe Streets Gang Task Force.
- IMPACT-funded field intelligence officers shared law enforcement sensitive intelligence with numerous outside agencies, resulting in the apprehension of suspects wanted for violent crimes.

SUFFOLK COUNTY – Suffolk County Police

Targeted Crimes: Firearms, Aggravated Assaults and Burglary

Agency Personnel Funded through Operation IMPACT

- The Suffolk County District Attorney’s Office utilizes IMPACT grant funding to support six full-time prosecutors assigned to handle all IMPACT related crimes. IMPACT funding also supports a research technician to assist with telephone analysis and warrants and subpoenas relevant to the analysis.

Partnership Coordination

- Reducing firearm-related crime by targeting subjects possessing or selling illegal firearms continued to be a technique used by the Suffolk County IMPACT partners. Utilization of confidential informants, targeted debriefings and analysis of criminal history all were enhanced using IMPACT resources.
- Utilization of the DCJS e-JusticeNY/Integrated Justice Portal program for access to criminal history, SafeTnet de-confliction and obtaining target identification photos contributed to successful firearm investigations in Suffolk County.
- The telephone analysis program funded by IMPACT has been instrumental in identifying communication between persons suspected or identified as committing crimes of focus.
- The IMPACT-funded Digital Information Gateway program (DIG) has linked Suffolk County with the other IMPACT crime analysis centers, allowing for timely and accurate sharing of crime data.

- Utilizing information from multiple IMPACT strategies has led to the increased use of predictive analysis within the Suffolk County Police Department. This has been particularly useful in focusing resources to prevent violence between rival street gangs when retaliatory incidents begin to occur.
- Implementation of an intelligence debriefing system has significantly improved field intelligence officer (FIO) operations for the IMPACT partnership. This has allowed for the decentralization of debriefing collection while maintaining a centralized FIO review process.
- A Crime Analysis Program (CAP) has been implemented within the Firearms Suppression Unit to track non-fatal shootings, reports of shots fired and other crimes in order to identify “hot-spots” to track these crimes more efficiently.
- The Suffolk County Police Department implemented numerous Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) strategies targeted to reduce the incidents of crime in locations identified through crime analysis and community input.
- Utilizing IMPACT funds, the Property Recovery Section of the Suffolk County Police Department initiated numerous investigations into pawn shop/second-hand stores alleged to have been involved in transactions involving stolen property. These investigations resulted in numerous arrests and the recovery of stolen property.
- IMPACT funding enabled the Suffolk County Probation Department to engage in a variety of surveillance operations, probation home visits/searches, outside agency assists and probation search orders. During 2013, the Probation Department participated in initiatives that resulted in the recovery of three hand guns, 12 long guns and a large amount of narcotics, as well as conducting 69 home visits and making 67 misdemeanor arrests and 41 felony arrests.
- In 2013, the Domestic Violence Unit of the Suffolk County Sheriff’s Office received and investigated 26 new NICS cases. The unit made 17 arrests, with a total of 45 criminal charges. Eighteen cases were exceptionally cleared. Nine cases have arrests pending.
- The Suffolk County Sheriff’s Office Pistol License Bureau has three active investigators participating in IMPACT. IMPACT activities consist of locating and recovering deceased licensee’s handguns, recovering suspended licensee’s handguns, recovering unregistered handguns, locating owners of unclaimed handguns left in our property section and destruction of illegal and unclaimed handguns. A total of 254 handguns were recovered in 2013.
- The Suffolk County District Attorney’s Office (SCDAO) reported more than 384 felony arrests prosecuted by the office from January 2013 through September 2013 as a direct result of IMPACT activities. The office also reported more than 440 felony indictments and more than 700 felony convictions.

- A joint investigation by the SCDAO and the Suffolk County Police Department (SCPD) Detectives, assigned to the District Attorney's Office Firearms Suppression Team, resulted in the acquisition of 53 illegal handguns, five rifles, two bullet-proof vests and a silencer.

ULSTER COUNTY - City of Kingston Police

Targeted Crimes: Aggravated Assault and Larceny

Agency Personnel Funded through Operation IMPACT

- The Kingston Police Department utilizes IMPACT grant funding to support a full-time crime analyst who tracks crime trends in order to develop prevention strategies aimed at reducing IMPACT-related crimes.
- The Ulster County District Attorney's Office utilizes IMPACT funding to support a full-time prosecutor assigned to handle all IMPACT related crimes.
- The Ulster County Sheriff's Office and the Ulster County Probation Office use IMPACT funding to support a total of two field intelligence officers.

Partnership Coordination

- Operation IMPACT provided funding for the deployment of directed patrols and investigations used primarily in the hot spots provided through crime analysis
- The Operation Dust Bunny investigation targeted members of various street gangs within the county and resulted in the arrest of 23 individuals.
- Members of the Ulster Regional Gang Narcotics Enforcement Team (U.R.G.E.N.T), a drug and gang task force that operates with IMPACT partners from the Ulster County Sheriff's Office, Ulster County Probation and the Ulster County District Attorney's Office, conducted several operations during 2013.

WESTCHESTER COUNTY– City of Yonkers Police, City of Mount Vernon Police

Targeted Crimes: Yonkers Police Department – Robbery, Aggravated Assaults, and Larceny
Mount Vernon Police Department – Firearms and Aggravated Assaults

Agency Personnel Funded through Operation IMPACT

- The Yonkers Police Department, Westchester County District Attorney's Office, and the Mount Vernon Police Department all utilize IMPACT funding to support a total of three, full-time crime analysts.

- The Yonkers Police Department, the Mount Vernon Police Department and the Westchester County Department of Public Safety utilize IMPACT funding to support a total of five full-time field intelligence officers.
- The Mount Vernon Police Department utilizes IMPACT funding to support a full-time gang/gun intervention officer.
- The Westchester County District Attorney’s Office utilizes IMPACT funding to support three full-time prosecutors assigned to handle IMPACT-related crimes.
- The Westchester County District Attorney’s Office employs a full-time criminal investigator utilizing IMPACT funding.

Partnership Coordination

- The Yonkers Police Department (YPD) continued to respond to spikes in focus crime, identified through real time criminal analysis and mapping, through the deployment of a directed saturation patrol (TIGHTGRIP) to the affected area.
- YPD and State Police again teamed up in an undercover narcotics investigation into street level dealing within the IMPACT zone. Twenty-nine people were either indicted or charged with felony drug sales in this field operation.
- In response to the rise in the abuse of oxycodone, YPD has engaged in a target hardening program with city pharmacies, particularly those privately-owned, with a view toward strengthening the liaison between business and law enforcement and to increase vigilance as to the proliferation of stolen and forged prescriptions.
- YPD conducted an undercover operation into fencing of stolen property activities taking place within the commercial hub of the IMPACT zone which resulted in the arrest of the store manager and an employee.
- The Westchester County Department of Public Safety assisted YPD in the identification of the secondary market vendors of precious metals with the highest volume of business and assisted in the scheduling and execution of these businesses for compliance inspections.
- In response to incidents of strong arm robberies committed in the vicinity of city schools, YPD initiated “Safe Corridors”, in which students are directed to travel to and from school along specified routes which are the subject of enhanced patrol staffing.
- The Mount Vernon Police Department (MVPD) expanded its Community Policing Unit (CPU), whose task is to foster relationships with residents and local businesses through high visibility “walk and talk” policing.

- State Police assisted MVPD in conducting directed patrols in the immediate aftermath of a shooting incident and participates in periodic blue/grey patrols.
- The Westchester County Department of Public Safety supplemented blue/grey patrols through its own regularly conducted joint patrol with MVPD.
- MVPD, State Police, and the district attorney's office conducted a joint proactive investigation into identified gang principals which revealed and disrupted a planned retaliation shooting and resulted in three arrests.
- The Westchester County Department of Probation re-instituted its HI-IMPACT program for the express purpose of increasing the frequency of ride-alongs, search order executions, and other initiatives in Yonkers and Mount Vernon.
- The Detective Divisions of both YPD and MVPD, with the support and direction of the district attorney's office, investigate domestic assaults committed by non-resident defendants as potential burglaries rather than merely simple assaults.
- The district attorney's office and the state Department of Correction and Community Supervision have established two pilot programs run out of local churches in which re-entrants are linked with local businesses in job training programs.

IV. STATE AGENCY CONTRIBUTIONS

NEW YORK STATE DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

OFFICE OF PUBLIC SAFETY

The DCJS Office of Public Safety (OPS) is responsible for the day-to-day oversight and administration of Operation IMPACT. The agency has assigned staff to work closely with the 17 IMPACT partnerships to assist them in implementing their strategies and to provide a single point of contact for all information and services provided to law enforcement by DCJS and other participating state agencies. IMPACT staff attend monthly IMPACT partnership meetings; provide follow-up in areas where a need is determined to exist; make referrals on training and other resources available through DCJS and coordinate access to other state agency resources as needed. In addition, the IMPACT staff and the DCJS Law Enforcement Grants Unit closely monitor various performance measures that IMPACT agencies must meet as part of their participation in the program, such as the timely submission of all required crime reports, proper reporting on sex offenders, timely submission of DNA samples owed by qualifying offenders and proper designation of arrests that have a hate crime component or a domestic incident report on file in conjunction with the arrest.

CRIME ANALYSIS CENTERS

DCJS-funded Crime Analysis Centers are designed to enhance local efforts to combat Part 1 crime in four of the larger IMPACT counties with the most reported crime and to promote information sharing locally and statewide. The four centers are located in Albany, Erie, Monroe and Onondaga counties. In 2013, Broome County was selected to host the fifth Crime Analysis Center, which became operational in early 2014. Those jurisdictions were selected specifically because of the relatively high volume of firearm and other violent crime in the primary jurisdiction and countywide. Using the data-driven policing model implemented through IMPACT, the centers take that approach to the next level through extensive cross-agency data sharing, sophisticated technological information dissemination tools, and a professional inter-agency crime analyst staff. New York State's crime analysis centers were honored at the Best of the Web & Digital Government Achievement Awards in 2013 as one of six winners in the government-to-government category.

The centers in each county are governed by a board of directors that includes the district attorney, sheriff, police chief of the largest local agency, the state police and DCJS. Each center is led by a director, employed by DCJS, who reports directly to the center program director in the Office of Public Safety. This structure works to ensure that the centers are fulfilling the overarching goals of the state. The centers are staffed by civilian analysts that are supported with a portion of IMPACT and federal Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (Byrne/JAG) funding, as well as other IMPACT-and locally-funded sworn analysts and crime analysts within the county IMPACT partnership. The analysts conduct in-depth analysis of all city and county crime data, allowing all law enforcement agencies within each county to benefit from crime analysis as never before. The centers' analysts use software programs that allow them to link crimes, suspects, telephone numbers and other data from the various agencies within the county. This provides law enforcement with a macro level view of the local crime scene, enabling authorities to discover potential criminal associations. The centers also employ mapping software that provides a regional picture of where crime is being committed. These tools, combined with data drawn from numerous databases and records management systems from police departments in each of the respective center counties, provide center staff, and in turn local police agencies, with a wealth of information to combat crime.

The centers share local crime information with the other centers using a single-inquiry search. The New York State Police, the Nassau Lead Development Center and the Suffolk County PD Intel Center also participate in this statewide crime information sharing network. More than 60 percent of the crime outside New York City is shared in this way to enhance the investigative capabilities of local analysts and law enforcement. The NY/NJ High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area and the Franklin County District Attorney Drug Task Force also share in the statewide data sharing network.

The centers emphasize effective dissemination of information as well as analysis. DCJS has funded digital signage in each center community and in some cases, adjacent jurisdictions. The centers control large video monitors on the walls in prominent places in police departments,

out of view from the public, that broadcast slides generated by the Crime Analysis Centers. The slides include most wanted bulletins, warrants, crime maps, alerts and officer safety information. Bank robbery photos are often posted within 20 minutes of the robbery. There are more than 125 monitors distributed in key precincts, parole offices and police headquarters across the state.

The centers utilize geographic information systems to provide accurate and timely crime maps to the command staff and officers in the field for the local agencies. These online maps highlight crime by any combination of type, date and area, as well as the incident narrative report. The centers also provide web sites for local law enforcement to access in-depth information.

The commanding officer and the command staff of the Albany Police Department, the Buffalo Police Department, the Rochester Police Department and the Syracuse Police Department meet regularly with their respective center director to identify crime patterns that occurred in the previous 24 hours or more and to make determinations about the deployment of resources in response to those patterns. This regular interaction allows these four IMPACT jurisdictions to address crime patterns before they become crime trends. Every single center can point to cases that would likely still be unsolved were it not for the existence of the center, the technology available through the centers and the expertise of the analysts staffed at the centers.

The centers are housed in the headquarters of the Buffalo Police Department, the Rochester Police Department, the Syracuse Police Department, and the Albany Police Department's South Station. The newly-opened Broome Crime Analysis Center is located in the Binghamton Police Department.

OFFICE OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES

The Office of Probation and Correctional Alternatives (OPCA) has worked closely with probation departments within the 17 IMPACT counties and has extended the lessons learned through IMPACT to probation departments statewide. Under direct guidance of OPCA, local probation staff has been assigned as probation field intelligence officers (FIO) within the IMPACT county probation departments. The role of the probation FIO is quite similar to the FIO assigned within a police department, but the intelligence that is gathered is obtained from people under probation supervision. The probation FIOs work hand-in-hand with the FIOs assigned within their respective partnerships to maximize both collaboration and data-sharing among IMPACT law enforcement agencies.

The information that probation departments can provide to law enforcement is unique and valuable and more of that information is being used by law enforcement to enhance investigations and their intelligence systems. Probation officers conduct joint warrant and curfew enforcement initiatives, bar checks and "ride-alongs" with police officers and assist in identifying offenders that have a high risk of reoffending. Probation FIOs have also been assigned to all four Crime Analysis Centers.

OCA encourages departments in non-IMPACT jurisdictions to embrace these strategies to enhance public safety throughout the state. Additionally, OCA has promoted several initiatives statewide that provide benefits to the IMPACT partnerships. These initiatives include the following:

- Correctional Offender Management Profiling for Alternative Sanctions (COMPAS) and Youth Assessment Screening Instrument (YASI) – COMPAS (for adults) and YASI (for juveniles) are risk/need assessment tools that assist probation departments in identifying probationers with the highest risk and need levels and assessing the criminogenic needs of probationers that must be addressed to prevent re-offending. All 57 probation departments outside of New York City utilize the state’s web-based adult COMPAS and juvenile YASI risk and need assessment instruments.
- Persistent Convicted Offender Report – OCA has encouraged the utilization of the Persistent Convicted Offender Report, a creation of DCJS, by local probation departments statewide as a supervision tool to help focus resources on high risk offenders. This report is county-specific and identifies individuals with five or more convictions within a recent three-year window. The report indicates whether the offender is under supervision and is therefore valuable in assisting probation departments to focus supervision resources accordingly. This report can be accessed by logging into the eJustice Integrated Justice Portal (IJ Portal), going to Resources and then to Reference Library.
- DNA Collection – Probation departments are required by law to collect DNA samples on certain offenders. OCA tracks the percentage of required DNA collected by probation departments. Since the inception of DNA collection through Nov. 20, 2013, probation departments have collected more than 152,820 samples of DNA from probationers in New York State, leading to the identification of offenders responsible for more than 2,200 crimes, including more than 160 homicides.
- Probation Department Automation – OCA is working with county probation departments and a state contract vendor to automate probation departments. This automation provides uniform data that can be utilized for crime analysis to support IMPACT strategies. Currently, 50 counties are either implementing or in production with the case management system, Caseload Explorer, and 46 counties have implemented the integration of Caseload Explorer with the state’s Integrated Probation Registrant System (IPRS). This information is now being provided directly to Crime Analysis Centers.
- Operation Return – In 2013, OCA renewed efforts with the United States Marshals Service, other DCJS staff, local probation departments and the NY/NJ High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area Center (HIDTA) to apprehend Sex Offender Registry Act (SORA) Level 2 and 3 probation absconders. Operation Return will again provide a package of guidance,

resources and funding to facilitate the location and arrest of these offenders; probation absconders from IMPACT counties will be included in this initiative. During the last phase of Operation Return, more than 50 offenders had been located, warrants were lodged and 33 offenders were extradited back to New York State for judicial action. Of the absconders returned and processed, 12 were sentenced to a period of state imprisonment, 17 were sentenced to local jail time, two were sentenced to time served, and outcomes are still pending in two cases. Regarding the 33 absconders returned to New York for processing, further information indicates that one absconder was taken into Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) custody, 10 were charged with violations of New York's Sex Offender Registration Act, and four were charged with federal Adam Walsh Act violations.

- Domestic Violence – OPCA has worked with the New York State Police, the New York State Association of Chiefs of Police and the New York State Sheriffs' Association in implementing a statewide initiative for law enforcement agencies to share domestic incident reports (DIRs) with supervising probation and parole authorities to hold offenders accountable and reduce the incidence of domestic violence homicides, of which an overwhelming proportion are women. This procedure was codified into law with the passage of Chapter 476 of the Laws of 2009. Building on the foundation established through prior DIR policy training, additional training has recently been provided to probation departments regarding proper interpretation of DIRs and appropriate probation supervision responses. OPCA continues to encourage the use of the DIR Repository by probation professionals. OPCA has published a continuum of guidance regarding domestic violence for probation professionals which reflects all stages of probation work. OPCA is represented on the Statewide Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team, which met and reviewed two cases in 2013.
- Young Violent Offenders – In 2013, OPCA reviewed several probation cases involving young offenders, primarily involving guns. OPCA offered preliminary recommendations to probation departments in the management of such offenders and will continue to develop strategies with other state partners and local probation professionals in the identification and management of these offenders.

OPCA has provided continued support to the IMPACT initiative through direction and guidance provided to county probation directors and through participation and input at monthly IMPACT partnership meetings.

NEW YORK STATE POLICE

During 2013, the New York State Police continued to make critical contributions to Operation IMPACT efforts. State Police participate in a variety of IMPACT initiatives, and provide supplemental resources to enhance the police presence within the IMPACT jurisdictions. The Community Narcotics Enforcement Team (CNET) works with narcotics investigators in the IMPACT jurisdictions to address the sale and purchase of illegal narcotics and guns, and the Violent Felony Warrant Squad (VFW) adds resources and expertise in executing outstanding arrest warrants.

The following data summarizes the number of sworn personnel assigned and the total number of hours worked in a variety of IMPACT details and initiatives in each jurisdiction².

JAN. 1 THROUGH DEC. 31, 2013

IMPACT CITY	NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES	SCHEDULED SHIFT HOURS
Albany	8	4,884
Buffalo	24	2,396
Jamestown	3	48
Kingston	2	16
Mt. Vernon	48	1,312
Newburgh	15	1,064
Niagara Falls	11	152
Poughkeepsie	14	1,944
Schenectady	5	1,416
Syracuse	2	24
Utica	3	24
Yonkers	14	1,712
Total	149	14,992

² For the purposes of these charts, the only IMPACT jurisdictions named are those where contributions were made by the NYSP.

The following information summarizes the number of arrests (felony, misdemeanor or violation) and calls for service the NYSP made/responded to in specific IMPACT jurisdictions.

JAN. 1 THROUGH DEC. 31, 2013

	ARRESTS				CALLS FOR SERVICE
	FELONY	MISD	VIOL	TOTAL	
Albany					925
Binghamton			16	16	196
Buffalo	150	300	105	555	270
Jamestown					5
Mt. Vernon					52
Rochester	205	980	480	1665	1,950
Schenectady	4	8		12	100
Syracuse	8	56	60	124	396
Utica					48
TOTAL	177	927	615	1,719	4,656

Finally, in 2013, the New York State Police significantly contributed to traffic safety and criminal interdiction efforts in 12 of the 17 IMPACT jurisdictions by issuing 4,097 traffic tickets, including 37 DWI arrests. They also continued to assist local police agencies by responding to and investigating 129 traffic accidents.

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE FOR THE PREVENTION OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

The New York State Office for the Prevention of Domestic Violence (OPDV) has been an active partner in Operation IMPACT since its inception. OPDV’s role increased in 2008 when DCJS collaborated with OPDV to encourage the IMPACT jurisdictions to address domestic violence as a specific area in their IMPACT funding applications. Since that time, OPDV has provided support to jurisdictions as they implement their plans by providing training or technical assistance on domestic violence.

During 2013, OPDV provided 22 training sessions to 550 law enforcement officials in six of the 17 primary IMPACT jurisdictions. OPDV also provided technical assistance and training to IMPACT jurisdictions on a variety of different topics including:

- Implementation of new legislation from 2012 and 2013
- Misdemeanor crimes of domestic violence and gun prohibitions
- Evidence collection at domestic incidents
- Domestic Incident Report (DIR) Repository awareness and registration
- Potential impact on children exposed to domestic violence
- DIRs and DIR Reference Manual
- Individual agency domestic incident policy revisions
- Officer-involved domestic incidents
- Criminal mischief, stalking and other crimes in the context of domestic violence
- Members of the same family or household
- Mandatory arrest per CPL 140.10(4)
- Primary physical aggressor determination
- Physical injury definition re: assault

In the 2013-2014 RFA cycle, 14 of the 17 primary IMPACT jurisdictions chose to identify a domestic violence reduction strategy. OPDV reviewed and provided input to DCJS on the content of all of the proposals and has provided support to all jurisdictions through the above-described technical assistance and training.

During 2012, as part of a Grants to Encourage Arrest Program (GTEAP), OPDV created an online training program that allows IMPACT jurisdictions and all other NYS police agencies to provide officers with domestic violence training in a convenient, efficient and cost effective manner without incurring costs for classroom training and travel. This training provides four distinct modules created specifically for New York law enforcement, including an overview of police response to domestic incidents and three that examine some of the unique concerns related to incidents involving rural locations, teen dating violence and elder abuse by a family member. Throughout 2013, this training program was well-received, with dozens of law enforcement officers completing it and receiving certification, and many more engaging in some of the training modules but not completing all four sections.

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION

The New York State Department of Corrections and Community Supervision (DOCCS) continues to be an important Operation IMPACT partner. DOCCS parole officers work on a daily basis with law enforcement agencies throughout the state as they supervise parolees released into their communities.

Parole officers participate in a variety of joint operations with other law enforcement agencies, conducting warrant sweeps, curfew enforcement and spot checks on alcohol establishments frequented by the offender population.

DOCCS recognizes the critical importance of intelligence development and information sharing. Parole officers routinely debrief parolees, soliciting information on recently committed crimes and other criminal activity. The department's field offices provide intelligence on parolees to other law enforcement agencies and in 2013, this information has been enhanced, particularly in jurisdictions with Crime Analysis Centers. An example of this enhanced intelligence sharing is ongoing in Monroe

County. Community Supervision is partnering with the Monroe Crime Analysis Center in a pilot program in which identified offenders under supervision are tracked by Global Positioning System (GPS). This data is compared to local burglaries committed in specific areas in Rochester. Any potential match between an offender and burglary is investigated collaboratively by the Parole officer and local police.

Brief overviews of other DOCCS Community Supervision IMPACT activities are as follows:

Niagara County - Monthly IMPACT meetings at the Niagara Falls Police Department are attended by the bureau chief and senior parole officer (SPO). Parole officers participate in weekly compliance checks with the Roving Anti-Crime Unit (RMU). Ongoing intelligence sharing is conducted between the SPO/POs and members of local law enforcement.

Broome County – The local parole field office is involved in an average of two operations per month focusing on pre-delinquent home visits and absconder search of parolees, along with conducting registered sex offender residence verifications and joint warrant activity.

Monroe County – Monthly IMPACT meetings are attended by the parole bureau chief and senior parole officer. In conjunction with the Rochester Police Department, field activities run from May to October. Parole officers attend roll call with the other participating agencies and set the course of action for the evening. Intelligence is shared and high crime areas are a main focus.

Erie County – Monthly IMPACT meetings at the Buffalo Police Department are attended by the bureau chief and/or senior parole officer. A re-entry component is integrated into each monthly meeting, with discussion. Parole officers participate in compliance checks with the Buffalo police's Strike Force each month. Parole intelligence is shared as appropriate.

Chautauqua County – Monthly IMPACT meetings at the Jamestown Police Department are attended by the senior parole officer. Parole officers conduct joint patrols with police periodically. Periodic special details with targeted home visits are scheduled throughout the impact year.

Onondaga County – The Syracuse field office focused on joint home visits with Onondaga County Probation and intelligence sharing with the US Marshal's Services, New York State Police, Onondaga County Sheriff's Office and Syracuse police.

Oneida County – The Utica field office conducted joint home visits with the Oneida County Probation Department and Utica police focusing on sex offender verifications.

Rensselaer County – North East field office worked collaboratively with DEA, ATF, Rensselaer County Sheriff's Office and Troy police on intelligence gathering and sharing with a focus on guns and robbery crime reduction. The partners meet regularly to exchange information and to target specific active groups and areas based on real time crime analysis data.

Westchester County – 2013 Operation IMPACT activities include the regional director and the bureau chiefs participating in monthly county meetings and sharing intelligence as it relates to reported spikes in criminal activity within the communities.

Orange and Rockland Counties – The Peekskill field office conducted specific home visit operations with the Spring Valley police (Rockland County) targeting offenders with a history of burglary offenses. In addition, the local office worked with the Middletown police (Orange County) conducting spot checks on establishments serving alcohol that are frequented by the offender population.

Dutchess and Ulster Counties – 2013 Operation IMPACT activities for these counties include the regional director and the bureau chiefs participating in monthly county meetings and sharing intelligence as it relates to reported spikes in criminal activity within the communities.

Suffolk and Nassau Counties – 2013 Operation IMPACT Activities for both counties include the regional director and the bureau chiefs participating in monthly county meetings and sharing intelligence as it relates to reported spikes in criminal activity within the communities.

Albany and Schenectady Counties – During the past year, the Albany bureau has been very active in these counties. In Albany County, teams of five officers participated in saturation patrols and home visits with detectives from the Albany police. In Schenectady County, monthly saturation patrols were conducted. The Office of Field Intelligence in Schenectady provides regular reports on all criminal activity in the city as well as specific information on persons of interest. Any parolee noted in the report becomes a focus of the details as well as absconders or pre-delinquent offenders.

Joint IMPACT warrant enforcement operations enhance public safety through the apprehension of parole absconders.

APPENDIX A

Index Crime Report 2012 vs. 2013

This section includes the December monthly report which also includes full year 2013 data. This report shows the monthly and year-to-date Index crime trends for each of the 17 primary jurisdictions.



Reported Crime Primary IMPACT Jurisdictions

Prepared by Division of Criminal Justice Services

January - December 2013 vs. 2012

As of 03/01/2014

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
IMPACT TOTAL						
Total Index Crimes	8,511	7,987	-6.2%	107,067	100,085	-6.5%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	1,166	1,115	-4.4%	15,826	14,654	-7.4%
Murder	11	23	109.1%	171	199	16.4%
Rape	41	37	-9.8%	656	604	-7.9%
Robbery	532	490	-7.9%	5,846	5,645	-3.4%
Aggravated Assault	582	565	-2.9%	9,153	8,206	-10.3%
<u>Property Crime</u>	7,345	6,872	-6.4%	91,241	85,431	-6.4%
Burglary	1,620	1,436	-11.4%	20,435	17,735	-13.2%
Larceny	5,298	5,063	-4.4%	65,834	63,096	-4.2%
Motor Vehicle Theft	427	373	-12.6%	4,972	4,600	-7.5%

Notes: all data is preliminary and subject to change. IBR data has been converted to UCR categories. Percent change is not calculated when counts are less than 10.

Includes the 17 primary IMPACT Jurisdictions: Albany, Binghamton, Buffalo, Jamestown, Kingston, Nassau, Newburgh, Niagara Falls, Poughkeepsie, Rochester, Schenectady, Spring Valley, Suffolk, Syracuse, Troy, Utica, and Yonkers.

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
ALBANY CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	371	404	8.9%	5,125	4,872	-4.9%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	69	63	-8.7%	800	787	-1.6%
Murder	1	2		5	7	
Rape	5	3		41	30	-26.8%
Robbery	30	21	-30.0%	246	228	-7.3%
Aggravated Assault	33	37	12.1%	508	522	2.8%
<u>Property Crime</u>	302	341	12.9%	4,325	4,085	-5.5%
Burglary	63	71	12.7%	887	705	-20.5%
Larceny	230	261	13.5%	3,286	3,238	-1.5%
Motor Vehicle Theft	9	9		152	142	-6.6%
BINGHAMTON CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	193	158	-18.1%	2,646	2,637	-0.3%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	14	24	71.4%	312	286	-8.3%
Murder	1	0		3	3	
Rape	1	3		15	19	26.7%
Robbery	4	12		78	103	32.1%
Aggravated Assault	8	9		216	161	-25.5%
<u>Property Crime</u>	179	134	-25.1%	2,334	2,351	0.7%
Burglary	52	32	-38.5%	553	526	-4.9%
Larceny	123	99	-19.5%	1,729	1,767	2.2%
Motor Vehicle Theft	4	3		52	58	11.5%
BUFFALO CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	1,309	1,204	-8.0%	16,834	15,740	-6.5%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	254	230	-9.4%	3,380	3,249	-3.9%
Murder	4	7		48	47	-2.1%
Rape	5	1		138	145	5.1%
Robbery	133	113	-15.0%	1,388	1,322	-4.8%
Aggravated Assault	112	109	-2.7%	1,806	1,735	-3.9%
<u>Property Crime</u>	1,055	974	-7.7%	13,454	12,491	-7.2%
Burglary	306	282	-7.8%	3,976	3,458	-13.0%
Larceny	661	607	-8.2%	8,371	8,076	-3.5%
Motor Vehicle Theft	88	85	-3.4%	1,107	957	-13.6%
JAMESTOWN CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	84	112	33.3%	1,363	1,306	-4.2%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	7	10		182	168	-7.7%
Murder	0	0		0	0	
Rape	0	1		14	19	35.7%
Robbery	0	5		39	39	0.0%
Aggravated Assault	7	4		129	110	-14.7%
<u>Property Crime</u>	77	102	32.5%	1,181	1,138	-3.6%
Burglary	19	16	-15.8%	359	281	-21.7%
Larceny	56	84	50.0%	784	827	5.5%
Motor Vehicle Theft	2	2		38	30	-21.1%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
KINGSTON CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	47	56	19.1%	842	761	-9.6%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	5	5		70	74	5.7%
Murder	0	0		0	1	
Rape	0	0		4	4	
Robbery	2	2		20	25	25.0%
Aggravated Assault	3	3		46	44	-4.3%
<u>Property Crime</u>	42	51	21.4%	772	687	-11.0%
Burglary	7	9		114	112	-1.8%
Larceny	35	41	17.1%	648	565	-12.8%
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	1		10	10	0.0%
NASSAU COUNTY PD						
Total Index Crimes	1,282	1,217	-5.1%	14,967	14,283	-4.6%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	121	106	-12.4%	1,637	1,394	-14.8%
Murder	0	2		12	12	0.0%
Rape	2	1		55	43	-21.8%
Robbery	66	56	-15.2%	726	706	-2.8%
Aggravated Assault	53	47	-11.3%	844	633	-25.0%
<u>Property Crime</u>	1,161	1,111	-4.3%	13,330	12,889	-3.3%
Burglary	171	126	-26.3%	2,019	1,737	-14.0%
Larceny	918	947	3.2%	10,596	10,558	-0.4%
Motor Vehicle Theft	72	38	-47.2%	715	594	-16.9%
NEWBURGH CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	147	131	-10.9%	1,761	1,475	-16.2%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	42	30	-28.6%	544	435	-20.0%
Murder	0	0		5	5	
Rape	2	0		19	21	10.5%
Robbery	25	11	-56.0%	214	150	-29.9%
Aggravated Assault	15	19	26.7%	306	259	-15.4%
<u>Property Crime</u>	105	101	-3.8%	1,217	1,040	-14.5%
Burglary	21	24	14.3%	341	304	-10.9%
Larceny	77	74	-3.9%	814	673	-17.3%
Motor Vehicle Theft	7	3		62	63	1.6%
NIAGARA FALLS CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	287	256	-10.8%	3,581	3,390	-5.3%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	40	37	-7.5%	619	584	-5.7%
Murder	0	0		3	3	
Rape	4	1		26	12	-53.8%
Robbery	18	10	-44.4%	171	166	-2.9%
Aggravated Assault	18	26	44.4%	419	403	-3.8%
<u>Property Crime</u>	247	219	-11.3%	2,962	2,806	-5.3%
Burglary	70	58	-17.1%	749	745	-0.5%
Larceny	165	147	-10.9%	2,084	1,949	-6.5%
Motor Vehicle Theft	12	14	16.7%	129	112	-13.2%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
POUGHKEEPSIE CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	95	83	-12.6%	1,328	1,070	-19.4%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	17	17	0.0%	342	284	-17.0%
Murder	1	0		3	7	
Rape	3	2		12	16	33.3%
Robbery	4	5		87	100	14.9%
Aggravated Assault	9	10		240	161	-32.9%
<u>Property Crime</u>	78	66	-15.4%	986	786	-20.3%
Burglary	19	12	-36.8%	247	188	-23.9%
Larceny	59	53	-10.2%	690	589	-14.6%
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	1		49	9	
ROCHESTER CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	1,076	835	-22.4%	13,361	12,157	-9.0%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	176	133	-24.4%	2,067	2,106	1.9%
Murder	0	5		36	42	16.7%
Rape	6	5		111	92	-17.1%
Robbery	98	65	-33.7%	816	917	12.4%
Aggravated Assault	72	58	-19.4%	1,104	1,055	-4.4%
<u>Property Crime</u>	900	702	-22.0%	11,294	10,051	-11.0%
Burglary	211	171	-19.0%	2,978	2,587	-13.1%
Larceny	628	487	-22.5%	7,694	6,855	-10.9%
Motor Vehicle Theft	61	44	-27.9%	622	609	-2.1%
SCHENECTADY CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	225	277	23.1%	3,454	3,384	-2.0%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	51	35	-31.4%	625	601	-3.8%
Murder	0	0		7	8	
Rape	3	2		32	31	-3.1%
Robbery	18	11	-38.9%	199	200	0.5%
Aggravated Assault	30	22	-26.7%	387	362	-6.5%
<u>Property Crime</u>	174	242	39.1%	2,829	2,783	-1.6%
Burglary	52	61	17.3%	810	768	-5.2%
Larceny	113	165	46.0%	1,876	1,863	-0.7%
Motor Vehicle Theft	9	16		143	152	6.3%
SPRING VALLEY VILLAGE PD						
Total Index Crimes	51	36	-29.4%	709	535	-24.5%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	18	11	-38.9%	212	132	-37.7%
Murder	0	1		0	2	
Rape	0	1		8	9	
Robbery	3	2		67	34	-49.3%
Aggravated Assault	15	7		137	87	-36.5%
<u>Property Crime</u>	33	25	-24.2%	497	403	-18.9%
Burglary	9	4		91	53	-41.8%
Larceny	23	19	-17.4%	376	334	-11.2%
Motor Vehicle Theft	1	2		30	16	-46.7%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
SUFFOLK COUNTY PD						
Total Index Crimes	1,920	1,912	-0.4%	24,220	22,180	-8.4%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	117	143	22.2%	1,789	1,599	-10.6%
Murder	2	4		23	27	17.4%
Rape	1	4		41	22	-46.3%
Robbery	37	64	73.0%	681	620	-9.0%
Aggravated Assault	77	71	-7.8%	1,044	930	-10.9%
<u>Property Crime</u>	1,803	1,769	-1.9%	22,431	20,581	-8.2%
Burglary	326	282	-13.5%	3,699	2,979	-19.5%
Larceny	1,380	1,393	0.9%	17,686	16,551	-6.4%
Motor Vehicle Theft	97	94	-3.1%	1,046	1,051	0.5%
SYRACUSE CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	689	637	-7.5%	7,348	7,665	4.3%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	99	102	3.0%	1,372	1,192	-13.1%
Murder	2	0		14	21	50.0%
Rape	4	5		75	75	0.0%
Robbery	40	41	2.5%	454	400	-11.9%
Aggravated Assault	53	56	5.7%	829	696	-16.0%
<u>Property Crime</u>	590	535	-9.3%	5,976	6,473	8.3%
Burglary	164	161	-1.8%	1,896	1,781	-6.1%
Larceny	402	339	-15.7%	3,698	4,298	16.2%
Motor Vehicle Theft	24	35	45.8%	382	394	3.1%
TROY CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	215	206	-4.2%	2,714	2,356	-13.2%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	17	35	105.9%	334	371	11.1%
Murder	0	0		7	1	
Rape	1	2		14	14	0.0%
Robbery	9	23		111	145	30.6%
Aggravated Assault	7	10		202	211	4.5%
<u>Property Crime</u>	198	171	-13.6%	2,380	1,985	-16.6%
Burglary	60	55	-8.3%	593	593	0.0%
Larceny	132	110	-16.7%	1,685	1,305	-22.6%
Motor Vehicle Theft	6	6		102	87	-14.7%
UTICA CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	221	235	6.3%	3,117	2,870	-7.9%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	32	37	15.6%	408	356	-12.7%
Murder	0	1		1	7	
Rape	3	2		23	27	17.4%
Robbery	12	10	-16.7%	127	100	-21.3%
Aggravated Assault	17	24	41.2%	257	222	-13.6%
<u>Property Crime</u>	189	198	4.8%	2,709	2,514	-7.2%
Burglary	25	48	92.0%	589	448	-23.9%
Larceny	157	147	-6.4%	2,018	1,986	-1.6%
Motor Vehicle Theft	7	3		102	80	-21.6%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
YONKERS CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	299	228	-23.7%	3,697	3,404	-7.9%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	87	97	11.5%	1,133	1,036	-8.6%
Murder	0	1		4	6	
Rape	1	4		28	25	-10.7%
Robbery	33	39	18.2%	422	390	-7.6%
Aggravated Assault	53	53	0.0%	679	615	-9.4%
<u>Property Crime</u>	212	131	-38.2%	2,564	2,368	-7.6%
Burglary	45	24	-46.7%	534	470	-12.0%
Larceny	139	90	-35.3%	1,799	1,662	-7.6%
Motor Vehicle Theft	28	17	-39.3%	231	236	2.2%

Index Crime Trend Tables

Index Crimes

As of 03/01/2014

Primary IMPACT Total

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Total Index Crimes	7,932	6,291	7,302	7,932	8,336	8,706	9,698	9,818	8,758	9,272	8,053	7,987
Violent Crimes	1,168	879	1,045	1,184	1,399	1,378	1,400	1,451	1,265	1,250	1,120	1,115
Murder	11	13	14	7	27	16	17	19	19	17	16	23
Rape	43	37	55	41	70	47	57	59	51	55	52	37
Robbery	497	338	383	459	452	456	511	571	463	543	482	490
Aggravated Assault	617	491	593	677	850	859	815	802	732	635	570	565
Property Crimes	6,764	5,412	6,257	6,748	6,937	7,328	8,298	8,367	7,493	8,022	6,933	6,872
Burglary	1,514	1,083	1,271	1,374	1,432	1,506	1,712	1,735	1,593	1,628	1,451	1,436
Larceny	4,849	4,019	4,640	4,985	5,142	5,409	6,135	6,214	5,546	6,014	5,080	5,063
Motor Vehicle Theft	401	310	346	389	363	413	451	418	354	380	402	373

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change</u> <u>2012-13</u>
Total Index Crimes	121,169	118,656	119,520	112,707	116,075	113,373	113,754	110,672	107,067	100,085	-6.5%
Violent Crimes	16,076	17,562	18,251	16,498	16,817	16,714	16,245	15,499	15,826	14,654	-7.4%
Murder	196	226	225	206	213	217	229	149	171	199	16.4%
Rape	840	795	773	798	759	674	721	636	656	604	-7.9%
Robbery	6,333	7,332	7,643	6,604	6,828	6,708	6,156	6,017	5,846	5,645	-3.4%
Aggravated Assault	8,707	9,209	9,610	8,890	9,017	9,115	9,139	8,697	9,153	8,206	-10.3%
Property Crimes	105,093	101,094	101,269	96,209	99,258	96,659	97,509	95,173	91,241	85,431	-6.4%
Burglary	19,955	20,460	20,968	19,674	20,841	20,111	22,006	22,387	20,435	17,735	-13.2%
Larceny	71,700	69,352	69,661	67,010	70,371	69,657	69,443	67,515	65,834	63,096	-4.2%
Motor Vehicle Theft	13,438	11,282	10,640	9,525	8,046	6,891	6,060	5,271	4,972	4,600	-7.5%

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Note: There were 13 homicides reported in Binghamton in April 2009, which reflect a single incident where 13 victims were killed by one gunman during a mass shooting on April 3, 2009.

Index Crimes

As of 02/24/2014

Albany City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Total Index Crimes	367	294	347	392	412	410	441	548	435	444	378	404
Violent Crimes	66	42	63	70	87	67	61	90	60	56	62	63
Murder	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	2
Rape	1	0	4	3	6	1	2	3	2	2	3	3
Robbery	28	12	21	20	17	18	16	26	9	17	23	21
Aggravated Assault	37	30	38	46	63	47	43	61	49	35	36	37
Property Crimes	301	252	284	322	325	343	380	458	375	388	316	341
Burglary	58	37	48	46	43	55	61	77	65	83	61	71
Larceny	235	199	228	269	265	278	301	370	302	290	240	261
Motor Vehicle Theft	8	16	8	7	17	10	18	11	8	15	15	9
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>	
Total Index Crimes	6,717	6,158	6,057	5,378	5,489	5,255	5,633	5,557	5,125	4,872	-4.9%	
Violent Crimes	1,131	1,275	1,227	1,132	1,060	1,004	983	940	800	787	-1.6%	
Murder	10	8	5	3	10	9	3	4	5	7		
Rape	53	68	50	45	49	45	42	32	41	30	-26.8%	
Robbery	394	439	389	376	372	327	316	321	246	228	-7.3%	
Aggravated Assault	674	760	783	708	629	623	622	583	508	522	2.8%	
Property Crimes	5,586	4,883	4,830	4,246	4,429	4,251	4,650	4,617	4,325	4,085	-5.5%	
Burglary	1,294	1,328	1,061	964	1,034	877	927	893	887	705	-20.5%	
Larceny	3,825	3,186	3,528	2,997	3,170	3,141	3,497	3,556	3,286	3,238	-1.5%	
Motor Vehicle Theft	467	369	241	285	225	233	226	168	152	142	-6.6%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 02/14/2014

Binghamton City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Total Index Crimes	203	191	162	207	251	254	282	239	230	227	233	158
Violent Crimes	28	17	23	20	31	24	27	17	25	24	26	24
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
Rape	0	1	1	0	1	2	3	1	1	5	1	3
Robbery	12	8	5	10	8	7	8	3	11	7	12	12
Aggravated Assault	16	8	17	10	22	14	16	13	13	11	12	9
Property Crimes	175	174	139	187	220	230	255	222	205	203	207	134
Burglary	42	31	30	38	30	40	57	64	46	57	59	32
Larceny	129	140	107	143	185	178	190	157	154	141	144	99
Motor Vehicle Theft	4	3	2	6	5	12	8	1	5	5	4	3

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Total Index Crimes	2,122	2,079	2,559	2,314	2,665	2,291	2,353	2,568	2,646	2,637	-0.3%
Violent Crimes	155	177	206	214	276	216	268	272	312	286	-8.3%
Murder	1	3	2	3	1	15	5	0	3	3	
Rape	22	19	9	19	11	11	18	17	15	19	26.7%
Robbery	57	61	63	67	84	56	70	80	78	103	32.1%
Aggravated Assault	75	94	132	125	180	134	175	175	216	161	-25.5%
Property Crimes	1,967	1,902	2,353	2,100	2,389	2,075	2,085	2,296	2,334	2,351	0.7%
Burglary	247	236	288	254	342	286	423	413	553	526	-4.9%
Larceny	1,686	1,646	2,016	1,809	2,000	1,746	1,644	1,822	1,729	1,767	2.2%
Motor Vehicle Theft	34	20	49	37	47	43	18	61	52	58	11.5%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Note 1: Recent increases in aggravated assault is due in part to recent improvements in the completeness of monthly crime reports.

Note 2: 13 homicides reported in April 2009 reflect a single incident where 13 victims were killed by one gunman during a mass shooting on April 3rd, 2009.

Index Crimes

As of 02/28/2014

Buffalo City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Total Index Crimes	1,221	932	1,224	1,238	1,368	1,439	1,608	1,516	1,451	1,385	1,154	1,204
Violent Crimes	239	187	240	280	289	320	323	349	289	277	226	230
Murder	1	2	4	4	4	3	5	5	4	4	4	7
Rape	9	5	9	17	19	14	16	17	14	12	12	1
Robbery	100	78	98	116	90	123	118	139	123	129	95	113
Aggravated Assault	129	102	129	143	176	180	184	188	148	132	115	109
Property Crimes	982	745	984	958	1,079	1,119	1,285	1,167	1,162	1,108	928	974
Burglary	252	206	273	278	277	335	336	303	322	340	254	282
Larceny	629	488	646	610	724	689	844	778	769	700	592	607
Motor Vehicle Theft	101	51	65	70	78	95	105	86	71	68	82	85
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>	
Total Index Crimes	20,056	20,668	19,392	19,620	19,176	18,414	18,342	17,555	16,834	15,740	-6.5%	
Violent Crimes	3,804	3,938	3,956	3,490	3,713	3,923	3,599	3,250	3,380	3,249	-3.9%	
Murder	51	56	74	54	37	60	55	36	48	47	-2.1%	
Rape	212	184	173	164	173	143	157	121	138	145	5.1%	
Robbery	1,485	1,667	1,708	1,533	1,537	1,637	1,466	1,459	1,388	1,322	-4.8%	
Aggravated Assault	2,056	2,031	2,001	1,739	1,966	2,083	1,921	1,634	1,806	1,735	-3.9%	
Property Crimes	16,252	16,730	15,436	16,130	15,463	14,491	14,743	14,305	13,454	12,491	-7.2%	
Burglary	3,914	4,240	4,447	4,389	4,107	3,957	4,296	4,473	3,976	3,458	-13.0%	
Larceny	9,929	10,089	8,864	9,477	9,500	8,951	9,027	8,711	8,371	8,076	-3.5%	
Motor Vehicle Theft	2,409	2,401	2,125	2,264	1,856	1,583	1,420	1,121	1,107	957	-13.6%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 02/10/2014

Jamestown City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Total Index Crimes	88	74	99	105	145	128	114	123	122	109	87	112
Violent Crimes	6	4	19	10	22	27	21	13	15	9	12	10
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	1	0	1	1	2	2	3	3	2	1	2	1
Robbery	2	1	7	0	3	5	2	2	4	4	4	5
Aggravated Assault	3	3	11	9	17	20	16	8	9	4	6	4
Property Crimes	82	70	80	95	123	101	93	110	107	100	75	102
Burglary	23	5	24	18	37	32	29	32	31	23	11	16
Larceny	55	65	50	76	84	68	62	76	72	74	61	84
Motor Vehicle Theft	4	0	6	1	2	1	2	2	4	3	3	2

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Total Index Crimes	1,218	1,345	1,378	1,324	1,365	1,254	1,462	1,327	1,363	1,306	-4.2%
Violent Crimes	168	213	170	168	185	152	181	203	182	168	-7.7%
Murder	0	1	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	
Rape	19	23	21	20	20	20	24	22	14	19	35.7%
Robbery	48	46	35	30	40	26	35	36	39	39	0.0%
Aggravated Assault	101	143	114	117	122	105	122	145	129	110	-14.7%
Property Crimes	1,050	1,132	1,208	1,156	1,180	1,102	1,281	1,124	1,181	1,138	-3.6%
Burglary	304	364	367	300	344	266	342	335	359	281	-21.7%
Larceny	703	728	795	819	811	816	895	758	784	827	5.5%
Motor Vehicle Theft	43	40	46	37	25	20	44	31	38	30	-21.1%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 02/05/2014

Kingston City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Total Index Crimes	53	51	45	56	61	81	72	72	61	77	76	56
Violent Crimes	9	4	6	9	8	3	4	7	8	6	5	5
Murder	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Robbery	2	0	3	3	4	1	0	1	3	4	2	2
Aggravated Assault	7	2	3	5	4	1	4	6	4	2	3	3
Property Crimes	44	47	39	47	53	78	68	65	53	71	71	51
Burglary	9	10	9	8	7	12	11	9	7	10	11	9
Larceny	32	35	30	39	45	66	57	56	46	61	57	41
Motor Vehicle Theft	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	1

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Total Index Crimes	1,022	1,208	887	766	714	759	692	673	842	761	-9.6%
Violent Crimes	92	117	89	67	69	97	81	93	70	74	5.7%
Murder	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	
Rape	5	11	11	5	5	2	5	8	4	4	
Robbery	58	72	43	38	48	69	29	34	20	25	25.0%
Aggravated Assault	28	34	34	23	16	26	46	51	46	44	-4.3%
Property Crimes	930	1,091	798	699	645	662	611	580	772	687	-11.0%
Burglary	135	114	147	122	115	122	142	115	114	112	-1.8%
Larceny	762	928	625	551	510	510	451	450	648	565	-12.8%
Motor Vehicle Theft	33	49	26	26	20	30	18	15	10	10	0.0%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 02/28/2014

Nassau County Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Total Index Crimes	1,076	990	1,098	1,185	1,158	1,165	1,303	1,359	1,182	1,342	1,208	1,217
Violent Crimes	122	95	116	109	119	133	128	136	114	106	110	106
Murder	2	0	2	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	0	2
Rape	5	3	6	3	2	4	4	5	4	4	2	1
Robbery	64	38	53	53	48	58	83	80	55	55	63	56
Aggravated Assault	51	54	55	53	68	70	40	50	53	47	45	47
Property Crimes	954	895	982	1,076	1,039	1,032	1,175	1,223	1,068	1,236	1,098	1,111
Burglary	151	145	139	141	124	154	154	150	162	150	141	126
Larceny	759	699	808	882	877	822	960	1,019	863	1,021	901	947
Motor Vehicle Theft	44	51	35	53	38	56	61	54	43	65	56	38
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>	
Total Index Crimes	17,526	16,214	16,526	15,525	16,449	16,825	15,378	14,771	14,967	14,283	-4.6%	
Violent Crimes	1,763	1,793	1,813	1,639	1,602	1,640	1,497	1,459	1,637	1,394	-14.8%	
Murder	13	16	14	14	14	18	15	7	12	12	0.0%	
Rape	77	76	74	71	58	74	71	44	55	43	-21.8%	
Robbery	724	833	866	748	748	754	672	713	726	706	-2.8%	
Aggravated Assault	949	868	859	806	782	794	739	695	844	633	-25.0%	
Property Crimes	15,763	14,421	14,713	13,886	14,847	15,185	13,881	13,312	13,330	12,889	-3.3%	
Burglary	2,255	2,153	2,170	1,807	1,929	1,998	1,928	2,062	2,019	1,737	-14.0%	
Larceny	11,812	10,836	11,372	10,928	11,900	12,066	11,117	10,483	10,596	10,558	-0.4%	
Motor Vehicle Theft	1,696	1,432	1,171	1,151	1,018	1,121	836	767	715	594	-16.9%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 03/01/2014

Newburgh City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Total Index Crimes	143	76	105	121	139	129	141	133	113	115	129	131
Violent Crimes	45	18	33	38	51	40	41	32	32	36	39	30
Murder	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	1	1	4	0	2	0	1	1	4	3	4	0
Robbery	21	8	11	14	18	9	8	12	10	13	15	11
Aggravated Assault	23	9	18	24	27	30	32	19	18	20	20	19
Property Crimes	98	58	72	83	88	89	100	101	81	79	90	101
Burglary	34	19	25	31	24	25	29	27	23	19	24	24
Larceny	64	36	42	42	61	57	60	68	55	55	59	74
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	3	5	10	3	7	11	6	3	5	7	3

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Total Index Crimes	1,551	1,509	1,466	1,612	1,539	1,530	1,655	1,770	1,761	1,475	-16.2%
Violent Crimes	371	432	386	436	476	465	523	527	544	435	-20.0%
Murder	3	3	1	2	7	4	11	4	5	5	
Rape	15	12	16	14	13	8	7	11	19	21	10.5%
Robbery	94	174	134	131	162	187	195	254	214	150	-29.9%
Aggravated Assault	259	243	235	289	294	266	310	258	306	259	-15.4%
Property Crimes	1,180	1,077	1,080	1,176	1,063	1,065	1,132	1,243	1,217	1,040	-14.5%
Burglary	258	294	264	316	333	316	342	401	341	304	-10.9%
Larceny	793	707	750	791	640	661	724	782	814	673	-17.3%
Motor Vehicle Theft	129	76	66	69	90	88	66	60	62	63	1.6%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 02/24/2014

Niagara Falls City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Total Index Crimes	264	196	267	268	269	308	327	333	295	315	292	256
Violent Crimes	38	33	46	47	65	56	50	62	51	63	36	37
Murder	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	0	2	3	1	1	1	0	2	1	1
Robbery	18	11	16	9	15	13	11	15	10	22	16	10
Aggravated Assault	20	21	30	36	46	42	38	46	40	39	19	26
Property Crimes	226	163	221	221	204	252	277	271	244	252	256	219
Burglary	66	54	56	56	60	68	75	54	55	78	65	58
Larceny	149	105	157	157	140	173	189	202	179	168	183	147
Motor Vehicle Theft	11	4	8	8	4	11	13	15	10	6	8	14

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Total Index Crimes	3,607	3,372	3,432	3,187	3,310	3,422	3,535	3,518	3,581	3,390	-5.3%
Violent Crimes	636	685	644	508	566	609	610	580	619	584	-5.7%
Murder	5	4	4	4	3	6	5	4	3	3	
Rape	28	24	35	38	24	29	23	31	26	12	-53.8%
Robbery	188	242	201	134	173	167	185	174	171	166	-2.9%
Aggravated Assault	415	415	404	332	366	407	397	371	419	403	-3.8%
Property Crimes	2,971	2,687	2,788	2,679	2,744	2,813	2,925	2,938	2,962	2,806	-5.3%
Burglary	732	703	791	669	829	806	956	850	749	745	-0.5%
Larceny	1,942	1,726	1,802	1,799	1,763	1,879	1,835	1,930	2,084	1,949	-6.5%
Motor Vehicle Theft	297	258	195	211	152	128	134	158	129	112	-13.2%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 02/21/2014

Poughkeepsie City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Total Index Crimes	85	71	74	97	86	93	108	117	92	94	70	83
Violent Crimes	30	29	13	26	29	28	26	29	19	22	16	17
Murder	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	0	1	0
Rape	2	1	1	3	3	0	1	0	0	2	1	2
Robbery	14	6	4	9	15	11	5	7	10	8	6	5
Aggravated Assault	13	22	8	14	11	16	19	20	8	12	8	10
Property Crimes	55	42	61	71	57	65	82	88	73	72	54	66
Burglary	17	8	12	12	11	14	23	33	21	14	11	12
Larceny	37	34	47	59	46	51	59	54	51	57	41	53
Motor Vehicle Theft	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	1

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Total Index Crimes	1,561	1,480	1,535	1,451	1,521	1,447	1,459	1,355	1,328	1,070	-19.4%
Violent Crimes	357	372	417	388	397	417	400	326	342	284	-17.0%
Murder	2	2	4	5	5	3	6	5	3	7	
Rape	23	16	13	15	23	11	21	22	12	16	33.3%
Robbery	131	151	173	164	165	206	143	107	87	100	14.9%
Aggravated Assault	201	203	227	204	204	197	230	192	240	161	-32.9%
Property Crimes	1,204	1,108	1,118	1,063	1,124	1,030	1,059	1,029	986	786	-20.3%
Burglary	183	234	242	253	261	274	275	283	247	188	-23.9%
Larceny	918	787	792	706	787	691	732	704	690	589	-14.6%
Motor Vehicle Theft	103	87	84	104	76	65	52	42	49	9	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 02/28/2014

Rochester City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Total Index Crimes	949	705	784	961	1,139	1,159	1,284	1,204	1,038	1,194	905	835
Violent Crimes	181	110	137	176	203	194	214	216	187	194	161	133
Murder	2	3	3	2	2	4	3	5	6	4	3	5
Rape	3	7	7	2	12	7	14	11	7	7	10	5
Robbery	89	48	59	82	77	72	86	84	80	98	77	65
Aggravated Assault	87	52	68	90	112	111	111	116	94	85	71	58
Property Crimes	768	595	647	785	936	965	1,070	988	851	1,000	744	702
Burglary	234	156	160	215	249	215	271	280	208	241	187	171
Larceny	476	393	433	512	633	700	749	662	596	701	513	487
Motor Vehicle Theft	58	46	54	58	54	50	50	46	47	58	44	44
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	% Change 2012-13	
Total Index Crimes	17,240	16,017	15,665	13,627	13,433	13,167	14,212	13,988	13,361	12,157	-9.0%	
Violent Crimes	1,782	2,189	2,666	2,350	2,302	2,029	2,231	2,036	2,067	2,106	1.9%	
Murder	36	53	49	50	43	28	41	31	36	42	16.7%	
Rape	91	100	92	121	98	92	97	97	111	92	-17.1%	
Robbery	932	1,026	1,332	1,032	1,059	838	817	759	816	917	12.4%	
Aggravated Assault	723	1,010	1,193	1,147	1,102	1,071	1,276	1,149	1,104	1,055	-4.4%	
Property Crimes	15,458	13,828	12,999	11,277	11,131	11,138	11,981	11,952	11,294	10,051	-11.0%	
Burglary	2,722	2,758	2,673	2,582	2,809	2,887	3,455	3,392	2,978	2,587	-13.1%	
Larceny	9,550	8,826	7,913	7,044	7,060	7,293	7,773	7,857	7,694	6,855	-10.9%	
Motor Vehicle Theft	3,186	2,244	2,413	1,651	1,262	958	753	703	622	609	-2.1%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 02/04/2014

Schenectady City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Total Index Crimes	241	205	204	226	262	278	424	375	266	339	287	277
Violent Crimes	43	49	48	31	62	42	76	58	48	53	56	35
Murder	1	1	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Rape	3	2	4	1	3	2	4	2	2	1	5	2
Robbery	16	13	18	10	14	8	24	25	19	23	19	11
Aggravated Assault	23	33	26	20	41	32	48	30	27	29	31	22
Property Crimes	198	156	156	195	200	236	348	317	218	286	231	242
Burglary	55	43	36	52	69	57	81	104	65	86	59	61
Larceny	128	106	111	135	121	168	248	197	138	186	160	165
Motor Vehicle Theft	15	7	9	8	10	11	19	16	15	14	12	16

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Total Index Crimes	3,416	3,687	4,161	3,553	3,708	3,928	4,066	3,734	3,454	3,384	-2.0%
Violent Crimes	510	628	712	606	654	592	679	636	625	601	-3.8%
Murder	7	8	6	5	9	7	8	4	7	8	
Rape	38	43	52	34	35	31	53	40	32	31	-3.1%
Robbery	173	252	309	265	288	243	256	205	199	200	0.5%
Aggravated Assault	292	325	345	302	322	311	362	387	387	362	-6.5%
Property Crimes	2,906	3,059	3,449	2,947	3,054	3,336	3,387	3,098	2,829	2,783	-1.6%
Burglary	718	800	1,119	806	925	822	856	901	810	768	-5.2%
Larceny	1,935	1,968	1,994	1,851	1,905	2,299	2,347	2,023	1,876	1,863	-0.7%
Motor Vehicle Theft	253	291	336	290	224	215	184	174	143	152	6.3%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 02/28/2014

Spring Valley Village Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Total Index Crimes	41	70	44	38	49	40	43	43	48	46	37	36
Violent Crimes	11	20	7	7	15	7	14	13	8	8	11	11
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Rape	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2	1	1
Robbery	1	7	1	2	4	2	5	4	1	2	3	2
Aggravated Assault	10	12	6	5	11	4	8	9	5	4	6	7
Property Crimes	30	50	37	31	34	33	29	30	40	38	26	25
Burglary	7	9	6	4	4	4	5	1	4	2	3	4
Larceny	23	40	29	24	29	28	23	27	35	36	21	19
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	1	2	3	1	1	1	2	1	0	2	2

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Total Index Crimes	684	643	597	655	721	633	765	639	709	535	-24.5%
Violent Crimes	190	180	183	204	202	169	139	162	212	132	-37.7%
Murder	2	4	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	
Rape	8	7	6	12	7	5	4	10	8	9	
Robbery	73	49	71	71	63	57	43	61	67	34	-49.3%
Aggravated Assault	107	120	105	121	131	107	91	91	137	87	-36.5%
Property Crimes	494	463	414	451	519	464	626	477	497	403	-18.9%
Burglary	107	83	93	89	85	80	106	80	91	53	-41.8%
Larceny	335	337	285	326	410	362	494	378	376	334	-11.2%
Motor Vehicle Theft	52	43	36	36	24	22	26	19	30	16	-46.7%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 02/27/2014

Suffolk County Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Total Index Crimes	1,903	1,393	1,689	1,774	1,600	1,756	2,013	2,194	1,959	2,132	1,855	1,912
Violent Crimes	115	111	107	143	138	152	137	169	139	139	106	143
Murder	3	1	0	0	4	1	1	4	4	1	4	4
Rape	2	1	4	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	4
Robbery	47	52	33	54	55	53	51	69	46	50	46	64
Aggravated Assault	63	57	70	87	78	97	83	95	87	87	55	71
Property Crimes	1,788	1,282	1,582	1,631	1,462	1,604	1,876	2,025	1,820	1,993	1,749	1,769
Burglary	299	168	215	217	222	187	269	269	281	285	285	282
Larceny	1,410	1,034	1,275	1,318	1,171	1,330	1,518	1,650	1,457	1,623	1,372	1,393
Motor Vehicle Theft	79	80	92	96	69	87	89	106	82	85	92	94
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>	
Total Index Crimes	26,687	26,542	27,292	26,541	28,400	27,466	27,546	26,797	24,220	22,180	-8.4%	
Violent Crimes	2,268	2,446	2,481	2,204	2,165	2,267	2,031	1,862	1,789	1,599	-10.6%	
Murder	28	28	37	28	38	32	50	32	23	27	17.4%	
Rape	109	82	86	87	91	62	55	42	41	22	-46.3%	
Robbery	845	1,037	1,027	871	890	960	814	748	681	620	-9.0%	
Aggravated Assault	1,286	1,299	1,331	1,218	1,146	1,213	1,112	1,040	1,044	930	-10.9%	
Property Crimes	24,419	24,096	24,811	24,337	26,235	25,199	25,515	24,935	22,431	20,581	-8.2%	
Burglary	3,537	3,509	3,373	3,365	3,805	3,740	4,031	4,342	3,699	2,979	-19.5%	
Larceny	18,323	18,372	19,110	18,880	20,490	19,952	20,038	19,424	17,686	16,551	-6.4%	
Motor Vehicle Theft	2,559	2,215	2,328	2,092	1,940	1,507	1,446	1,169	1,046	1,051	0.5%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 02/27/2014

Syracuse City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Total Index Crimes	576	504	570	570	613	686	711	691	705	702	700	637
Violent Crimes	88	68	86	81	109	113	112	104	127	102	100	102
Murder	1	2	2	0	5	2	3	1	1	4	0	0
Rape	9	6	8	5	10	5	1	7	4	10	5	5
Robbery	29	25	24	33	27	26	35	44	34	42	40	41
Aggravated Assault	49	35	52	43	67	80	73	52	88	46	55	56
Property Crimes	488	436	484	489	504	573	599	587	578	600	600	535
Burglary	143	88	117	125	151	178	161	187	176	126	168	161
Larceny	310	333	334	333	318	358	404	370	367	438	394	339
Motor Vehicle Theft	35	15	33	31	35	37	34	30	35	36	38	35

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Total Index Crimes	7,983	8,056	8,192	7,399	7,531	7,122	6,999	6,577	7,348	7,665	4.3%
Violent Crimes	1,322	1,570	1,515	1,435	1,366	1,343	1,291	1,302	1,372	1,192	-13.1%
Murder	16	19	12	19	24	18	15	11	14	21	50.0%
Rape	70	73	66	67	71	70	68	63	75	75	0.0%
Robbery	451	554	534	446	419	403	377	388	454	400	-11.9%
Aggravated Assault	785	924	903	903	852	852	831	840	829	696	-16.0%
Property Crimes	6,661	6,486	6,677	5,964	6,165	5,779	5,708	5,275	5,976	6,473	8.3%
Burglary	1,678	1,867	1,904	1,785	1,938	1,946	2,174	1,705	1,896	1,781	-6.1%
Larceny	3,839	3,639	4,037	3,618	3,725	3,495	3,167	3,261	3,698	4,298	16.2%
Motor Vehicle Theft	1,144	980	736	561	502	338	367	309	382	394	3.1%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 02/26/2014

Troy City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Total Index Crimes	226	167	144	192	227	244	221	229	170	165	165	206
Violent Crimes	28	25	24	25	41	28	40	32	27	27	39	35
Murder	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	0	4	3	0	0	2	0	2	0	1	0	2
Robbery	18	7	4	11	12	7	16	13	9	9	16	23
Aggravated Assault	10	13	17	14	29	19	24	17	18	17	23	10
Property Crimes	198	142	120	167	186	216	181	197	143	138	126	171
Burglary	57	43	37	60	55	68	52	55	40	35	36	55
Larceny	134	94	79	99	122	143	117	130	97	101	79	110
Motor Vehicle Theft	7	5	4	8	9	5	12	12	6	2	11	6
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>	
Total Index Crimes	2,312	2,362	2,652	2,548	2,755	2,689	2,775	2,689	2,714	2,356	-13.2%	
Violent Crimes	298	309	373	345	392	349	423	371	334	371	11.1%	
Murder	1	4	1	2	5	3	2	0	7	1		
Rape	30	18	18	21	19	17	22	23	14	14	0.0%	
Robbery	84	78	120	131	152	154	137	112	111	145	30.6%	
Aggravated Assault	183	209	234	191	216	175	262	236	202	211	4.5%	
Property Crimes	2,014	2,053	2,279	2,203	2,363	2,340	2,352	2,318	2,380	1,985	-16.6%	
Burglary	553	517	614	581	600	608	656	775	593	593	0.0%	
Larceny	1,266	1,333	1,482	1,449	1,650	1,612	1,551	1,442	1,685	1,305	-22.6%	
Motor Vehicle Theft	195	203	183	173	113	120	145	101	102	87	-14.7%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 02/03/2014

Utica City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Total Index Crimes	193	156	174	207	232	211	293	317	321	304	227	235
Violent Crimes	31	26	15	23	29	26	34	30	44	28	33	37
Murder	0	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
Rape	4	3	2	1	2	2	3	3	2	1	2	2
Robbery	7	8	4	8	7	1	7	11	14	8	15	10
Aggravated Assault	20	14	7	14	20	22	23	16	28	19	15	24
Property Crimes	162	130	159	184	203	185	259	287	277	276	194	198
Burglary	30	12	31	35	24	27	50	55	52	47	37	48
Larceny	123	111	122	144	170	150	201	223	221	221	153	147
Motor Vehicle Theft	9	7	6	5	9	8	8	9	4	8	4	3

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Total Index Crimes	2,793	2,940	3,249	3,317	3,259	3,061	3,138	2,883	3,117	2,870	-7.9%
Violent Crimes	287	268	435	434	478	477	416	383	408	356	-12.7%
Murder	5	8	6	5	4	5	2	4	1	7	
Rape	17	18	20	21	20	18	25	17	23	27	17.4%
Robbery	139	133	140	143	181	149	146	103	127	100	-21.3%
Aggravated Assault	126	109	269	265	273	305	243	259	257	222	-13.6%
Property Crimes	2,506	2,672	2,814	2,883	2,781	2,584	2,722	2,500	2,709	2,514	-7.2%
Burglary	605	619	764	750	732	506	576	614	589	448	-23.9%
Larceny	1,740	1,967	1,896	1,971	1,929	2,001	2,065	1,811	2,018	1,986	-1.6%
Motor Vehicle Theft	161	86	154	162	120	77	81	75	102	80	-21.6%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Note: the increase in aggravated assault in 2006 is due in part to improvements in the completeness of monthly crime reports.

Index Crimes

As of 02/26/2014

Yonkers City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Total Index Crimes	303	216	272	295	325	325	313	325	270	282	250	228
Violent Crimes	88	41	62	89	101	118	92	94	72	100	82	97
Murder	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	1
Rape	3	1	1	0	4	2	1	2	4	1	2	4
Robbery	29	16	22	25	38	42	36	36	25	52	30	39
Aggravated Assault	56	24	38	64	58	74	53	56	43	46	50	53
Property Crimes	215	175	210	206	224	207	221	231	198	182	168	131
Burglary	37	49	53	38	45	35	48	35	35	32	39	24
Larceny	156	107	142	143	151	150	153	175	144	141	110	90
Motor Vehicle Theft	22	19	15	25	28	22	20	21	19	9	19	17


	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Total Index Crimes	4,674	4,376	4,480	3,890	4,040	4,110	3,744	4,271	3,697	3,404	-7.9%
Violent Crimes	942	970	978	878	914	965	893	1,097	1,133	1,036	-8.6%
Murder	15	9	8	10	9	8	9	7	4	6	
Rape	23	21	31	44	42	36	29	36	28	25	-10.7%
Robbery	457	518	498	424	447	475	455	463	422	390	-7.6%
Aggravated Assault	447	422	441	400	416	446	400	591	679	615	-9.4%
Property Crimes	3,732	3,406	3,502	3,012	3,126	3,145	2,851	3,174	2,564	2,368	-7.6%
Burglary	713	641	651	642	653	620	521	753	534	470	-12.0%
Larceny	2,342	2,277	2,400	1,994	2,121	2,182	2,086	2,123	1,799	1,662	-7.6%
Motor Vehicle Theft	677	488	451	376	352	343	244	298	231	236	2.2%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Note: Yonkers City Police Department's procedural and classification errors prior to 2011 resulted in under-counts in the crime category of aggravated assault.

Violent Crimes Involving a Firearm Report 2012 vs. 2013

This section includes the December monthly report which also includes full year 2013 data. This report shows the monthly and year-to-date violent crime involving a firearm trends for each of the 17 primary jurisdictions.



Violent Crime by Firearm Primary IMPACT Jurisdictions

Prepared by Division of Criminal Justice Services

January - December 2013 vs. 2012

As of 03/01/2014

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
IMPACT TOTAL						
Total Violent Crime	1,166	1,115	-4.4%	15,826	14,653	-7.4%
Total Firearm Related	303	297	-2.0%	3,628	3,513	-3.2%
Percent Firearm	26.0%	26.6%		22.9%	24.0%	
Murder	11	23	109.1%	171	199	16.4%
Firearm Related	9	17		119	127	6.7%
Percent Firearm	81.8%	73.9%		69.6%	63.8%	
Rape	41	37	-9.8%	656	604	-7.9%
Firearm Related	1	2		24	24	0.0%
Percent Firearm	2.4%	5.4%		3.7%	4.0%	
Robbery	532	490	-7.9%	5,846	5,644	-3.5%
Firearm Related	197	182	-7.6%	1,902	1,860	-2.2%
Percent Firearm	37.0%	37.1%		32.5%	33.0%	
Aggravated Assault	582	565	-2.9%	9,153	8,206	-10.3%
Firearm Related	96	96	0.0%	1,583	1,502	-5.1%
Percent Firearm	16.5%	17.0%		17.3%	18.3%	

Notes: all data is preliminary and subject to change. IBR data has been converted to UCR categories. Percent change is not calculated when counts are less than 10.

Includes the 17 primary IMPACT Jurisdictions: Albany, Binghamton, Buffalo, Jamestown, Kingston, Nassau, Newburgh, Niagara Falls, Poughkeepsie, Rochester, Schenectady, Spring Valley, Suffolk, Syracuse, Troy, Utica, and Yonkers.

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
ALBANY CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	69	63	-8.7%	800	787	-1.6%
Total Firearm Related	13	13	0.0%	117	130	11.1%
Percent Firearm	18.8%	20.6%		14.6%	16.5%	
Murder	1	2		5	7	
Firearm Related	1	2		3	5	
Percent Firearm	100.0%	100.0%		60.0%	71.4%	
Rape	5	3		41	30	-26.8%
Firearm Related	0	1		0	1	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	33.3%		0.0%	3.3%	
Robbery	30	21	-30.0%	246	228	-7.3%
Firearm Related	10	5		62	67	8.1%
Percent Firearm	33.3%	23.8%		25.2%	29.4%	
Aggravated Assault	33	37	12.1%	508	522	2.8%
Firearm Related	2	5		52	57	9.6%
Percent Firearm	6.1%	13.5%		10.2%	10.9%	
BINGHAMTON CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	14	24	71.4%	312	286	-8.3%
Total Firearm Related	3	6		34	36	5.9%
Percent Firearm	21.4%	25.0%		10.9%	12.6%	
Murder	1	0		3	3	
Firearm Related	1	0		2	1	
Percent Firearm	100.0%			66.7%	33.3%	
Rape	1	3		15	19	26.7%
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	4	12		78	103	32.1%
Firearm Related	1	5		12	22	83.3%
Percent Firearm	25.0%	41.7%		15.4%	21.4%	
Aggravated Assault	8	9		216	161	-25.5%
Firearm Related	1	1		20	13	-35.0%
Percent Firearm	12.5%	11.1%		9.3%	8.1%	
BUFFALO CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	254	230	-9.4%	3,380	3,249	-3.9%
Total Firearm Related	92	84	-8.7%	1,076	951	-11.6%
Percent Firearm	36.2%	36.5%		31.8%	29.3%	
Murder	4	7		48	47	-2.1%
Firearm Related	4	6		41	35	-14.6%
Percent Firearm	100.0%	85.7%		85.4%	74.5%	
Rape	5	1		138	145	5.1%
Firearm Related	0	1		5	11	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	100.0%		3.6%	7.6%	
Robbery	133	113	-15.0%	1,388	1,322	-4.8%
Firearm Related	61	46	-24.6%	574	481	-16.2%
Percent Firearm	45.9%	40.7%		41.4%	36.4%	
Aggravated Assault	112	109	-2.7%	1,806	1,735	-3.9%
Firearm Related	27	31	14.8%	456	424	-7.0%
Percent Firearm	24.1%	28.4%		25.2%	24.4%	

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
JAMESTOWN CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	7	10		182	168	-7.7%
Total Firearm Related	0	3		16	21	31.3%
Percent Firearm	0.0%	30.0%		8.8%	12.5%	
Murder	0	0		0	0	
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0	
Percent Firearm				0.0%	0.0%	
Rape	0	1		14	19	35.7%
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0	
Percent Firearm		0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	0	5		39	39	0.0%
Firearm Related	0	3		6	6	
Percent Firearm		60.0%		15.4%	15.4%	
Aggravated Assault	7	4		129	110	-14.7%
Firearm Related	0	0		10	15	50.0%
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		7.8%	13.6%	
KINGSTON CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	5	5		70	74	5.7%
Total Firearm Related	0	0		15	5	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		21.4%	6.8%	
Murder	0	0		0	1	
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0	
Percent Firearm				0.0%	0.0%	
Rape	0	0		4	4	
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0	
Percent Firearm				0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	2	2		20	25	25.0%
Firearm Related	0	0		4	2	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		20.0%	8.0%	
Aggravated Assault	3	3		46	44	-4.3%
Firearm Related	0	0		11	3	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		23.9%	6.8%	
NASSAU COUNTY PD						
Total Violent Crime	121	106	-12.4%	1,637	1,394	-14.8%
Total Firearm Related	28	28	0.0%	261	285	9.2%
Percent Firearm	23.1%	26.4%		15.9%	20.4%	
Murder	0	2		12	12	0.0%
Firearm Related	0	2		7	9	
Percent Firearm		100.0%		58.3%	75.0%	
Rape	2	1		55	43	-21.8%
Firearm Related	0	0		1	3	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		1.8%	7.0%	
Robbery	66	56	-15.2%	726	706	-2.8%
Firearm Related	23	21	-8.7%	206	222	7.8%
Percent Firearm	34.8%	37.5%		28.4%	31.4%	
Aggravated Assault	53	47	-11.3%	844	633	-25.0%
Firearm Related	5	5		47	51	8.5%
Percent Firearm	9.4%	10.6%		5.6%	8.1%	

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
NEWBURGH CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	42	30	-28.6%	544	435	-20.0%
Total Firearm Related	14	7		112	109	-2.7%
Percent Firearm	33.3%	23.3%		20.6%	25.1%	
Murder	0	0		5	5	
Firearm Related	0	0		4	4	
Percent Firearm				80.0%	80.0%	
Rape	2	0		19	21	10.5%
Firearm Related	1	0		3	0	
Percent Firearm	50.0%			15.8%	0.0%	
Robbery	25	11	-56.0%	214	150	-29.9%
Firearm Related	8	4		47	47	0.0%
Percent Firearm	32.0%	36.4%		22.0%	31.3%	
Aggravated Assault	15	19	26.7%	306	259	-15.4%
Firearm Related	5	3		58	58	0.0%
Percent Firearm	33.3%	15.8%		19.0%	22.4%	
NIAGARA FALLS CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	40	37	-7.5%	619	584	-5.7%
Total Firearm Related	12	10	-16.7%	123	124	0.8%
Percent Firearm	30.0%	27.0%		19.9%	21.2%	
Murder	0	0		3	3	
Firearm Related	0	0		1	3	
Percent Firearm				33.3%	100.0%	
Rape	4	1		26	12	-53.8%
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	18	10	-44.4%	171	166	-2.9%
Firearm Related	7	2		49	47	-4.1%
Percent Firearm	38.9%	20.0%		28.7%	28.3%	
Aggravated Assault	18	26	44.4%	419	403	-3.8%
Firearm Related	5	8		73	74	1.4%
Percent Firearm	27.8%	30.8%		17.4%	18.4%	
POUGHKEEPSIE CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	17	17	0.0%	342	284	-17.0%
Total Firearm Related	5	0		62	83	33.9%
Percent Firearm	29.4%	0.0%		18.1%	29.2%	
Murder	1	0		3	7	
Firearm Related	1	0		1	5	
Percent Firearm	100.0%			33.3%	71.4%	
Rape	3	2		12	16	33.3%
Firearm Related	0	0		1	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		8.3%	0.0%	
Robbery	4	5		87	100	14.9%
Firearm Related	0	0		16	32	100.0%
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		18.4%	32.0%	
Aggravated Assault	9	10		240	161	-32.9%
Firearm Related	4	0		44	46	4.5%
Percent Firearm	44.4%	0.0%		18.3%	28.6%	

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
ROCHESTER CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	176	133	-24.4%	2,067	2,105	1.8%
Total Firearm Related	67	59	-11.9%	694	755	8.8%
Percent Firearm	38.1%	44.4%		33.6%	35.9%	
Murder	0	5		36	42	16.7%
Firearm Related	0	5		28	29	3.6%
Percent Firearm		100.0%		77.8%	69.0%	
Rape	6	5		111	92	-17.1%
Firearm Related	0	0		7	4	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		6.3%	4.3%	
Robbery	98	65	-33.7%	816	916	12.3%
Firearm Related	47	32	-31.9%	345	406	17.7%
Percent Firearm	48.0%	49.2%		42.3%	44.3%	
Aggravated Assault	72	58	-19.4%	1,104	1,055	-4.4%
Firearm Related	20	22	10.0%	314	316	0.6%
Percent Firearm	27.8%	37.9%		28.4%	30.0%	
SCHENECTADY CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	51	35	-31.4%	625	601	-3.8%
Total Firearm Related	7	2		91	107	17.6%
Percent Firearm	13.7%	5.7%		14.6%	17.8%	
Murder	0	0		7	8	
Firearm Related	0	0		2	2	
Percent Firearm				28.6%	25.0%	
Rape	3	2		32	31	-3.1%
Firearm Related	0	0		0	3	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	9.7%	
Robbery	18	11	-38.9%	199	200	0.5%
Firearm Related	2	1		31	49	58.1%
Percent Firearm	11.1%	9.1%		15.6%	24.5%	
Aggravated Assault	30	22	-26.7%	387	362	-6.5%
Firearm Related	5	1		58	53	-8.6%
Percent Firearm	16.7%	4.5%		15.0%	14.6%	
SPRING VALLEY VILLAGE PD						
Total Violent Crime	18	11	-38.9%	212	132	-37.7%
Total Firearm Related	1	0		24	6	
Percent Firearm	5.6%	0.0%		11.3%	4.5%	
Murder	0	1		0	2	
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0	
Percent Firearm		0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Rape	0	1		8	9	
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0	
Percent Firearm		0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	3	2		67	34	-49.3%
Firearm Related	1	0		16	4	
Percent Firearm	33.3%	0.0%		23.9%	11.8%	
Aggravated Assault	15	7		137	87	-36.5%
Firearm Related	0	0		8	2	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		5.8%	2.3%	

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
SUFFOLK COUNTY PD						
Total Violent Crime	117	143	22.2%	1,789	1,599	-10.6%
Total Firearm Related	22	32	45.5%	431	385	-10.7%
Percent Firearm	18.8%	22.4%		24.1%	24.1%	
Murder	2	4		23	27	17.4%
Firearm Related	0	0		12	12	0.0%
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		52.2%	44.4%	
Rape	1	4		41	22	-46.3%
Firearm Related	0	0		4	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		9.8%	0.0%	
Robbery	37	64	73.0%	681	620	-9.0%
Firearm Related	11	27	145.5%	252	232	-7.9%
Percent Firearm	29.7%	42.2%		37.0%	37.4%	
Aggravated Assault	77	71	-7.8%	1,044	930	-10.9%
Firearm Related	11	5		163	141	-13.5%
Percent Firearm	14.3%	7.0%		15.6%	15.2%	
SYRACUSE CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	99	102	3.0%	1,372	1,192	-13.1%
Total Firearm Related	24	20	-16.7%	301	262	-13.0%
Percent Firearm	24.2%	19.6%		21.9%	22.0%	
Murder	2	0		14	21	50.0%
Firearm Related	2	0		11	14	27.3%
Percent Firearm	100.0%			78.6%	66.7%	
Rape	4	5		75	75	0.0%
Firearm Related	0	0		3	2	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		4.0%	2.7%	
Robbery	40	41	2.5%	454	400	-11.9%
Firearm Related	14	15	7.1%	138	112	-18.8%
Percent Firearm	35.0%	36.6%		30.4%	28.0%	
Aggravated Assault	53	56	5.7%	829	696	-16.0%
Firearm Related	8	5		149	134	-10.1%
Percent Firearm	15.1%	8.9%		18.0%	19.3%	
TROY CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	17	35	105.9%	334	371	11.1%
Total Firearm Related	2	13		89	81	-9.0%
Percent Firearm	11.8%	37.1%		26.6%	21.8%	
Murder	0	0		7	1	
Firearm Related	0	0		4	0	
Percent Firearm				57.1%	0.0%	
Rape	1	2		14	14	0.0%
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	9	23		111	145	30.6%
Firearm Related	2	11		37	44	18.9%
Percent Firearm	22.2%	47.8%		33.3%	30.3%	
Aggravated Assault	7	10		202	211	4.5%
Firearm Related	0	2		48	37	-22.9%
Percent Firearm	0.0%	20.0%		23.8%	17.5%	

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
UTICA CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	32	37	15.6%	408	356	-12.7%
Total Firearm Related	7	8		73	80	9.6%
Percent Firearm	21.9%	21.6%		17.9%	22.5%	
Murder	0	1		1	7	
Firearm Related	0	1		1	5	
Percent Firearm		100.0%		100.0%	71.4%	
Rape	3	2		23	27	17.4%
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	12	10	-16.7%	127	100	-21.3%
Firearm Related	5	0		31	28	-9.7%
Percent Firearm	41.7%	0.0%		24.4%	28.0%	
Aggravated Assault	17	24	41.2%	257	222	-13.6%
Firearm Related	2	7		41	47	14.6%
Percent Firearm	11.8%	29.2%		16.0%	21.2%	
YONKERS CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	87	97	11.5%	1,133	1,036	-8.6%
Total Firearm Related	6	12		109	93	-14.7%
Percent Firearm	6.9%	12.4%		9.6%	9.0%	
Murder	0	1		4	6	
Firearm Related	0	1		2	3	
Percent Firearm		100.0%		50.0%	50.0%	
Rape	1	4		28	25	-10.7%
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	33	39	18.2%	422	390	-7.6%
Firearm Related	5	10		76	59	-22.4%
Percent Firearm	15.2%	25.6%		18.0%	15.1%	
Aggravated Assault	53	53	0.0%	679	615	-9.4%
Firearm Related	1	1		31	31	0.0%
Percent Firearm	1.9%	1.9%		4.6%	5.0%	

Violent Crimes Involving a Firearm Trend Tables

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 03/01/2014
Primary IMPACT Total

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sept 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Violent Crimes	1,168	879	1,045	1,183	1,399	1,378	1,400	1,451	1,265	1,250	1,120	1,115
Firearm Related	333	219	204	249	314	299	323	319	312	341	303	297
Percent Firearm	28.5%	24.9%	19.5%	21.0%	22.4%	21.7%	23.1%	22.0%	24.7%	27.3%	27.1%	26.6%
Murder	11	13	14	7	27	16	17	19	19	17	16	23
Firearm Related	6	8	8	4	14	13	13	13	11	11	9	17
Percent Firearm	54.5%	61.5%	57.1%	57.1%	51.9%	81.3%	76.5%	68.4%	57.9%	64.7%	56.3%	73.9%
Rape	43	37	55	41	70	47	57	59	51	55	52	37
Firearm Related	4	1	1	0	2	1	5	3	2	2	1	2
Percent Firearm	9.3%	2.7%	1.8%	0.0%	2.9%	2.1%	8.8%	5.1%	3.9%	3.6%	1.9%	5.4%
Robbery	497	338	383	458	452	456	511	571	463	543	482	490
Firearm Related	196	118	112	138	138	124	159	169	142	200	182	182
Percent Firearm	39.4%	34.9%	29.2%	30.1%	30.5%	27.2%	31.1%	29.6%	30.7%	36.8%	37.8%	37.1%
Aggravated Assault	617	491	593	677	850	859	815	802	732	635	570	565
Firearm Related	127	92	83	107	160	161	146	134	157	128	111	96
Percent Firearm	20.6%	18.7%	14.0%	15.8%	18.8%	18.7%	17.9%	16.7%	21.4%	20.2%	19.5%	17.0%
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>		% Change 2012-13
Violent Crimes	16,076	17,562	18,251	16,498	16,817	16,714	16,245	15,499	15,826	14,653		-7.4%
Firearm Related	3,594	4,479	4,781	3,933	3,949	3,972	3,724	3,623	3,628	3,513		-3.2%
Percent Firearm	22.4%	25.5%	26.2%	23.8%	23.5%	23.8%	22.9%	23.4%	22.9%	24.0%		
Murder	196	226	225	206	213	217	229	149	171	199		16.4%
Firearm Related	107	140	143	138	137	146	156	84	119	127		6.7%
Percent Firearm	54.6%	61.9%	63.6%	67.0%	64.3%	67.3%	68.1%	56.4%	69.6%	63.8%		
Rape	840	795	773	798	759	674	721	636	656	604		-7.9%
Firearm Related	31	28	25	19	21	16	10	13	24	24		0.0%
Percent Firearm	3.7%	3.5%	3.2%	2.4%	2.8%	2.4%	1.4%	2.0%	3.7%	4.0%		
Robbery	6,333	7,332	7,643	6,604	6,828	6,708	6,156	6,017	5,846	5,644		-3.5%
Firearm Related	2,006	2,554	2,709	2,094	2,164	2,164	1,927	1,964	1,902	1,860		-2.2%
Percent Firearm	31.7%	34.8%	35.4%	31.7%	31.7%	32.3%	31.3%	32.6%	32.5%	33.0%		
Aggravated Assault	8,707	9,209	9,610	8,890	9,017	9,115	9,139	8,697	9,153	8,206		-10.3%
Firearm Related	1,450	1,757	1,904	1,682	1,627	1,646	1,631	1,562	1,583	1,502		-5.1%
Percent Firearm	16.7%	19.1%	19.8%	18.9%	18.0%	18.1%	17.8%	18.0%	17.3%	18.3%		

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Note: There were 13 homicides reported in Binghamton in April 2009, which reflect a single incident where 13 victims were killed by one gunman during a mass shooting on April 3, 2009.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 02/24/2014

Albany City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sept 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Violent Crimes	66	42	63	70	87	67	61	90	60	56	62	63
Firearm Related	19	5	8	9	14	8	8	14	14	7	11	13
Percent Firearm	28.8%	11.9%	12.7%	12.9%	16.1%	11.9%	13.1%	15.6%	23.3%	12.5%	17.7%	20.6%
Murder	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	2
Firearm Related	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Percent Firearm				100.0%	0.0%	0.0%				100.0%		100.0%
Rape	1	0	4	3	6	1	2	3	2	2	3	3
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Percent Firearm	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
Robbery	28	12	21	20	17	18	16	26	9	17	23	21
Firearm Related	14	3	6	5	3	4	5	9	4	2	7	5
Percent Firearm	50.0%	25.0%	28.6%	25.0%	17.6%	22.2%	31.3%	34.6%	44.4%	11.8%	30.4%	23.8%
Aggravated Assault	37	30	38	46	63	47	43	61	49	35	36	37
Firearm Related	5	2	2	3	11	4	3	5	10	3	4	5
Percent Firearm	13.5%	6.7%	5.3%	6.5%	17.5%	8.5%	7.0%	8.2%	20.4%	8.6%	11.1%	13.5%

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Violent Crimes	1,131	1,275	1,227	1,132	1,060	1,004	983	940	800	787	-1.6%
Firearm Related	166	244	181	193	207	160	132	171	117	130	11.1%
Percent Firearm	14.7%	19.1%	14.8%	17.0%	19.5%	15.9%	13.4%	18.2%	14.6%	16.5%	
Murder	10	8	5	3	10	9	3	4	5	7	
Firearm Related	3	4	3	3	6	7	1	2	3	5	
Percent Firearm	30.0%	50.0%	60.0%	100.0%	60.0%	77.8%	33.3%	50.0%	60.0%	71.4%	
Rape	53	68	50	45	49	45	42	32	41	30	-26.8%
Firearm Related	2	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Percent Firearm	3.8%	8.8%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	
Robbery	394	439	389	376	372	327	316	321	246	228	-7.3%
Firearm Related	86	127	102	91	110	99	68	91	62	67	8.1%
Percent Firearm	21.8%	28.9%	26.2%	24.2%	29.6%	30.3%	21.5%	28.3%	25.2%	29.4%	
Aggravated Assault	674	760	783	708	629	623	622	583	508	522	2.8%
Firearm Related	75	107	76	98	91	54	63	78	52	57	9.6%
Percent Firearm	11.1%	14.1%	9.7%	13.8%	14.5%	8.7%	10.1%	13.4%	10.2%	10.9%	

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 02/14/2014

Binghamton City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sept 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Violent Crimes	28	17	23	20	31	24	27	17	25	24	26	24
Firearm Related	6	2	3	1	5	4	1	0	3	3	2	6
Percent Firearm	21.4%	11.8%	13.0%	5.0%	16.1%	16.7%	3.7%	0.0%	12.0%	12.5%	7.7%	25.0%
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Percent Firearm						0.0%				100.0%	0.0%	
Rape	0	1	1	0	1	2	3	1	1	5	1	3
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm		0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	12	8	5	10	8	7	8	3	11	7	12	12
Firearm Related	5	0	1	1	3	1	1	0	2	1	2	5
Percent Firearm	41.7%	0.0%	20.0%	10.0%	37.5%	14.3%	12.5%	0.0%	18.2%	14.3%	16.7%	41.7%
Aggravated Assault	16	8	17	10	22	14	16	13	13	11	12	9
Firearm Related	1	2	2	0	2	3	0	0	1	1	0	1
Percent Firearm	6.3%	25.0%	11.8%	0.0%	9.1%	21.4%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	9.1%	0.0%	11.1%

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Violent Crimes	155	177	206	214	276	216	268	272	312	286	-8.3%
Firearm Related	14	10	20	18	21	34	34	32	34	36	5.9%
Percent Firearm	9.0%	5.6%	9.7%	8.4%	7.6%	15.7%	12.7%	11.8%	10.9%	12.6%	
Murder	1	3	2	3	1	15	5	0	3	3	
Firearm Related	0	1	1	1	1	14	2	0	2	1	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	33.3%	50.0%	33.3%	100.0%	93.3%	40.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	
Rape	22	19	9	19	11	11	18	17	15	19	26.7%
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	57	61	63	67	84	56	70	80	78	103	32.1%
Firearm Related	12	6	11	10	13	11	13	18	12	22	83.3%
Percent Firearm	21.1%	9.8%	17.5%	14.9%	15.5%	19.6%	18.6%	22.5%	15.4%	21.4%	
Aggravated Assault	75	94	132	125	180	134	175	175	216	161	-25.5%
Firearm Related	2	3	8	7	7	9	19	14	20	13	-35.0%
Percent Firearm	2.7%	3.2%	6.1%	5.6%	3.9%	6.7%	10.9%	8.0%	9.3%	8.1%	

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Note: 13 homicides reported in April 2009 reflect a single incident where 13 victims were killed by one gunman during a mass shooting on April 3rd, 2009.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 02/28/2014

Buffalo City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sept 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Violent Crimes	239	187	240	280	289	320	323	349	289	277	226	230
Firearm Related	67	64	65	70	65	85	74	97	96	114	70	84
Percent Firearm	28.0%	34.2%	27.1%	25.0%	22.5%	26.6%	22.9%	27.8%	33.2%	41.2%	31.0%	36.5%
Murder	1	2	4	4	4	3	5	5	4	4	4	7
Firearm Related	1	1	3	3	3	3	5	4	1	3	2	6
Percent Firearm	100.0%	50.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	100.0%	100.0%	80.0%	25.0%	75.0%	50.0%	85.7%
Rape	9	5	9	17	19	14	16	17	14	12	12	1
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	1	2	1	0	1
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	7.1%	25.0%	5.9%	14.3%	8.3%	0.0%	100.0%
Robbery	100	78	98	116	90	123	118	139	123	129	95	113
Firearm Related	37	37	30	41	34	39	29	43	42	62	41	46
Percent Firearm	37.0%	47.4%	30.6%	35.3%	37.8%	31.7%	24.6%	30.9%	34.1%	48.1%	43.2%	40.7%
Aggravated Assault	129	102	129	143	176	180	184	188	148	132	115	109
Firearm Related	29	26	32	26	27	42	36	49	51	48	27	31
Percent Firearm	22.5%	25.5%	24.8%	18.2%	15.3%	23.3%	19.6%	26.1%	34.5%	36.4%	23.5%	28.4%
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013		% Change 2012-13
Violent Crimes	3,804	3,938	3,956	3,490	3,713	3,923	3,599	3,250	3,380	3,249		-3.9%
Firearm Related	964	1,203	1,316	1,118	1,171	1,172	1,026	1,022	1,076	951		-11.6%
Percent Firearm	25.3%	30.5%	33.3%	32.0%	31.5%	29.9%	28.5%	31.4%	31.8%	29.3%		
Murder	51	56	74	54	37	60	55	36	48	47		-2.1%
Firearm Related	35	41	53	42	30	50	43	29	41	35		-14.6%
Percent Firearm	68.6%	73.2%	71.6%	77.8%	81.1%	83.3%	78.2%	80.6%	85.4%	74.5%		
Rape	212	184	173	164	173	143	157	121	138	145		5.1%
Firearm Related	11	4	10	7	4	7	4	4	5	11		
Percent Firearm	5.2%	2.2%	5.8%	4.3%	2.3%	4.9%	2.5%	3.3%	3.6%	7.6%		
Robbery	1,485	1,667	1,708	1,533	1,537	1,637	1,466	1,459	1,388	1,322		-4.8%
Firearm Related	498	625	683	620	648	587	510	578	574	481		-16.2%
Percent Firearm	33.5%	37.5%	40.0%	40.4%	42.2%	35.9%	34.8%	39.6%	41.4%	36.4%		
Aggravated Assault	2,056	2,031	2,001	1,739	1,966	2,083	1,921	1,634	1,806	1,735		-3.9%
Firearm Related	420	533	570	449	489	528	469	411	456	424		-7.0%
Percent Firearm	20.4%	26.2%	28.5%	25.8%	24.9%	25.3%	24.4%	25.2%	25.2%	24.4%		

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 02/10/2014

Jamestown City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sept 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Violent Crimes	6	4	19	10	22	27	21	13	15	9	12	10
Firearm Related	0	1	0	1	0	6	2	0	3	1	4	3
Percent Firearm	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	22.2%	9.5%	0.0%	20.0%	11.1%	33.3%	30.0%
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm												
Rape	1	0	1	1	2	2	3	3	2	1	2	1
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	2	1	7	0	3	5	2	2	4	4	4	5
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	3
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	25.0%	60.0%
Aggravated Assault	3	3	11	9	17	20	16	8	9	4	6	4
Firearm Related	0	1	0	1	0	6	1	0	3	0	3	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	30.0%	6.3%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Violent Crimes	168	213	170	168	185	152	181	203	182	168	-7.7%
Firearm Related	19	24	21	28	19	8	21	14	16	21	31.3%
Percent Firearm	11.3%	11.3%	12.4%	16.7%	10.3%	5.3%	11.6%	6.9%	8.8%	12.5%	
Murder	0	1	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Rape	19	23	21	20	20	20	24	22	14	19	35.7%
Firearm Related	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	48	46	35	30	40	26	35	36	39	39	0.0%
Firearm Related	6	7	6	4	6	0	10	4	6	6	
Percent Firearm	12.5%	15.2%	17.1%	13.3%	15.0%	0.0%	28.6%	11.1%	15.4%	15.4%	
Aggravated Assault	101	143	114	117	122	105	122	145	129	110	-14.7%
Firearm Related	13	16	15	24	13	7	11	10	10	15	50.0%
Percent Firearm	12.9%	11.2%	13.2%	20.5%	10.7%	6.7%	9.0%	6.9%	7.8%	13.6%	

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 02/05/2014

Kingston City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sept 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Violent Crimes	9	4	6	9	8	3	4	7	8	6	5	5
Firearm Related	2	0	1	2	0	0						
Percent Firearm	22.2%	0.0%	12.5%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%						
Murder	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm		0.0%										
Rape	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%			0.0%			
Robbery	2	0	3	3	4	1	0	1	3	4	2	2
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	33.3%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Aggravated Assault	7	2	3	5	4	1	4	6	4	2	3	3
Firearm Related	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Percent Firearm	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Violent Crimes	92	117	89	67	69	97	81	93	70	74	5.7%
Firearm Related	12	17	8	11	17	18	13	14	15	5	
Percent Firearm	13.0%	14.5%	9.0%	16.4%	24.6%	18.6%	16.0%	15.1%	21.4%	6.8%	
Murder	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	
Firearm Related	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Rape	5	11	11	5	5	2	5	8	4	4	
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	58	72	43	38	48	69	29	34	20	25	25.0%
Firearm Related	10	13	5	8	15	10	3	5	4	2	
Percent Firearm	17.2%	18.1%	11.6%	21.1%	31.3%	14.5%	10.3%	14.7%	20.0%	8.0%	
Aggravated Assault	28	34	34	23	16	26	46	51	46	44	-4.3%
Firearm Related	2	4	3	2	2	8	9	9	11	3	
Percent Firearm	7.1%	11.8%	8.8%	8.7%	12.5%	30.8%	19.6%	17.6%	23.9%	6.8%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 02/28/2014

Nassau County Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sept 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Violent Crimes	122	95	116	109	119	133	128	136	114	106	110	106
Firearm Related	34	10	28	12	22	19	31	26	25	23	27	28
Percent Firearm	27.9%	10.5%	24.1%	11.0%	18.5%	14.3%	24.2%	19.1%	21.9%	21.7%	24.5%	26.4%
Murder	2	0	2	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	0	2
Firearm Related	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	2
Percent Firearm	50.0%		50.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%			100.0%
Rape	5	3	6	3	2	4	4	5	4	4	2	1
Firearm Related	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	64	38	53	53	48	58	83	80	55	55	63	56
Firearm Related	26	9	18	10	14	13	30	24	15	18	24	21
Percent Firearm	40.6%	23.7%	34.0%	18.9%	29.2%	22.4%	36.1%	30.0%	27.3%	32.7%	38.1%	37.5%
Aggravated Assault	51	54	55	53	68	70	40	50	53	47	45	47
Firearm Related	4	1	9	2	7	5	0	2	8	5	3	5
Percent Firearm	7.8%	1.9%	16.4%	3.8%	10.3%	7.1%	0.0%	4.0%	15.1%	10.6%	6.7%	10.6%

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Violent Crimes	1,763	1,793	1,813	1,639	1,602	1,640	1,497	1,459	1,637	1,394	-14.8%
Firearm Related	236	304	305	241	258	285	220	235	261	285	9.2%
Percent Firearm	13.4%	17.0%	16.8%	14.7%	16.1%	17.4%	14.7%	16.1%	15.9%	20.4%	
Murder	13	16	14	14	14	18	15	7	12	12	0.0%
Firearm Related	3	9	9	12	4	3	12	3	7	9	
Percent Firearm	23.1%	56.3%	64.3%	85.7%	28.6%	16.7%	80.0%	42.9%	58.3%	75.0%	
Rape	77	76	74	71	58	74	71	44	55	43	-21.8%
Firearm Related	2	1	4	0	1	0	0	1	1	3	
Percent Firearm	2.6%	1.3%	5.4%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	1.8%	7.0%	
Robbery	724	833	866	748	748	754	672	713	726	706	-2.8%
Firearm Related	207	265	269	197	218	224	172	198	206	222	7.8%
Percent Firearm	28.6%	31.8%	31.1%	26.3%	29.1%	29.7%	25.6%	27.8%	28.4%	31.4%	
Aggravated Assault	949	868	859	806	782	794	739	695	844	633	-25.0%
Firearm Related	24	29	23	32	35	58	36	33	47	51	8.5%
Percent Firearm	2.5%	3.3%	2.7%	4.0%	4.5%	7.3%	4.9%	4.7%	5.6%	8.1%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 03/01/2014

Newburgh City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sept 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Violent Crimes	45	18	33	38	51	40	41	32	32	36	39	30
Firearm Related	11	4	8	6	11	9	15	9	12	10	7	7
Percent Firearm	24.4%	22.2%	24.2%	15.8%	21.6%	22.5%	36.6%	28.1%	37.5%	27.8%	17.9%	23.3%
Murder	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm					75.0%	100.0%						
Rape	1	1	4	0	2	0	1	1	4	3	4	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	21	8	11	14	18	9	8	12	10	13	15	11
Firearm Related	3	3	5	2	5	3	4	5	3	6	4	4
Percent Firearm	14.3%	37.5%	45.5%	14.3%	27.8%	33.3%	50.0%	41.7%	30.0%	46.2%	26.7%	36.4%
Aggravated Assault	23	9	18	24	27	30	32	19	18	20	20	19
Firearm Related	8	1	3	4	3	5	11	4	9	4	3	3
Percent Firearm	34.8%	11.1%	16.7%	16.7%	11.1%	16.7%	34.4%	21.1%	50.0%	20.0%	15.0%	15.8%
<hr/>												
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>		% Change 2012-13
Violent Crimes	371	432	386	436	476	465	523	527	544	435		-20.0%
Firearm Related	37	83	34	54	54	85	109	133	112	109		-2.7%
Percent Firearm	10.0%	19.2%	8.8%	12.4%	11.3%	18.3%	20.8%	25.2%	20.6%	25.1%		
Murder	3	3	1	2	7	4	11	4	5	5		
Firearm Related	3	3	1	0	5	2	8	0	4	4		
Percent Firearm	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	71.4%	50.0%	72.7%	0.0%	80.0%	80.0%		
Rape	15	12	16	14	13	8	7	11	19	21		10.5%
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0		
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	15.8%	0.0%		
Robbery	94	174	134	131	162	187	195	254	214	150		-29.9%
Firearm Related	16	49	22	21	29	50	42	65	47	47		0.0%
Percent Firearm	17.0%	28.2%	16.4%	16.0%	17.9%	26.7%	21.5%	25.6%	22.0%	31.3%		
Aggravated Assault	259	243	235	289	294	266	310	258	306	259		-15.4%
Firearm Related	18	31	11	33	20	32	59	68	58	58		0.0%
Percent Firearm	6.9%	12.8%	4.7%	11.4%	6.8%	12.0%	19.0%	26.4%	19.0%	22.4%		

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 02/24/2014

Niagara Falls City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sept 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Violent Crimes	38	33	46	47	65	56	50	62	51	63	36	37
Firearm Related	5	9	14	9	16	11	4	12	11	16	7	10
Percent Firearm	13.2%	27.3%	30.4%	19.1%	24.6%	19.6%	8.0%	19.4%	21.6%	25.4%	19.4%	27.0%
Murder	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Percent Firearm		100.0%			100.0%				100.0%			
Rape	0	0	0	2	3	1	1	1	0	2	1	1
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm				0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	18	11	16	9	15	13	11	15	10	22	16	10
Firearm Related	2	4	7	1	2	3	1	5	6	9	5	2
Percent Firearm	11.1%	36.4%	43.8%	11.1%	13.3%	23.1%	9.1%	33.3%	60.0%	40.9%	31.3%	20.0%
Aggravated Assault	20	21	30	36	46	42	38	46	40	39	19	26
Firearm Related	3	4	7	8	13	8	3	7	4	7	2	8
Percent Firearm	15.0%	19.0%	23.3%	22.2%	28.3%	19.0%	7.9%	15.2%	10.0%	17.9%	10.5%	30.8%
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>		<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Violent Crimes	636	685	644	508	566	609	610	580	619	584		-5.7%
Firearm Related	108	167	145	78	86	104	102	120	123	124		0.8%
Percent Firearm	17.0%	24.4%	22.5%	15.4%	15.2%	17.1%	16.7%	20.7%	19.9%	21.2%		
Murder	5	4	4	4	3	6	5	4	3	3		
Firearm Related	1	1	3	3	3	4	3	2	1	3		
Percent Firearm	20.0%	25.0%	75.0%	75.0%	100.0%	66.7%	60.0%	50.0%	33.3%	100.0%		
Rape	28	24	35	38	24	29	23	31	26	12		-53.8%
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Robbery	188	242	201	134	173	167	185	174	171	166		-2.9%
Firearm Related	48	96	66	29	29	47	49	43	49	47		-4.1%
Percent Firearm	25.5%	39.7%	32.8%	21.6%	16.8%	28.1%	26.5%	24.7%	28.7%	28.3%		
Aggravated Assault	415	415	404	332	366	407	397	371	419	403		-3.8%
Firearm Related	59	70	76	46	54	53	50	75	73	74		1.4%
Percent Firearm	14.2%	16.9%	18.8%	13.9%	14.8%	13.0%	12.6%	20.2%	17.4%	18.4%		

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 02/21/2014

Poughkeepsie City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sept 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Violent Crimes	30	29	13	26	29	28	26	29	19	22	16	17
Firearm Related	11	14	2	3	13	11	10	7	3	5	4	0
Percent Firearm	36.7%	48.3%	15.4%	11.5%	44.8%	39.3%	38.5%	24.1%	15.8%	22.7%	25.0%	0.0%
Murder	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	0	1	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%					100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	100.0%		100.0%	
Rape	2	1	1	3	3	0	1	0	0	2	1	2
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	14	6	4	9	15	11	5	7	10	8	6	5
Firearm Related	5	3	1	2	8	6	2	0	1	3	1	0
Percent Firearm	35.7%	50.0%	25.0%	22.2%	53.3%	54.5%	40.0%	0.0%	10.0%	37.5%	16.7%	0.0%
Aggravated Assault	13	22	8	14	11	16	19	20	8	12	8	10
Firearm Related	6	11	1	1	5	4	7	6	1	2	2	0
Percent Firearm	46.2%	50.0%	12.5%	7.1%	45.5%	25.0%	36.8%	30.0%	12.5%	16.7%	25.0%	0.0%
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>		<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Violent Crimes	357	372	417	388	397	417	400	326	342	284		-17.0%
Firearm Related	68	73	110	66	80	90	72	67	62	83		33.9%
Percent Firearm	19.0%	19.6%	26.4%	17.0%	20.2%	21.6%	18.0%	20.6%	18.1%	29.2%		
Murder	2	2	4	5	5	3	6	5	3	7		
Firearm Related	0	2	3	1	3	2	2	5	1	5		
Percent Firearm	0.0%	100.0%	75.0%	20.0%	60.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	33.3%	71.4%		
Rape	23	16	13	15	23	11	21	22	12	16		33.3%
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0		
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%		
Robbery	131	151	173	164	165	206	143	107	87	100		14.9%
Firearm Related	30	37	48	33	38	51	35	24	16	32		100.0%
Percent Firearm	22.9%	24.5%	27.7%	20.1%	23.0%	24.8%	24.5%	22.4%	18.4%	32.0%		
Aggravated Assault	201	203	227	204	204	197	230	192	240	161		-32.9%
Firearm Related	38	34	59	32	39	37	35	38	44	46		4.5%
Percent Firearm	18.9%	16.7%	26.0%	15.7%	19.1%	18.8%	15.2%	19.8%	18.3%	28.6%		

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 02/28/2014

Rochester City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sept 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Violent Crimes	181	110	137	175	203	194	214	216	187	194	161	133
Firearm Related	75	34	26	69	75	70	80	68	58	71	70	59
Percent Firearm	41.4%	30.9%	19.0%	39.4%	36.9%	36.1%	37.4%	31.5%	31.0%	36.6%	43.5%	44.4%
Murder	2	3	3	2	2	4	3	5	6	4	3	5
Firearm Related	2	2	1	0	1	4	2	4	3	2	3	5
Percent Firearm	100.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	50.0%	100.0%	66.7%	80.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Rape	3	7	7	2	12	7	14	11	7	7	10	5
Firearm Related	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Percent Firearm	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	9.1%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	89	48	59	81	77	72	86	84	80	98	77	65
Firearm Related	46	16	15	39	35	31	43	37	32	42	38	32
Percent Firearm	51.7%	33.3%	25.4%	48.1%	45.5%	43.1%	50.0%	44.0%	40.0%	42.9%	49.4%	49.2%
Aggravated Assault	87	52	68	90	112	111	111	116	94	85	71	58
Firearm Related	26	16	10	30	39	35	34	26	23	26	29	22
Percent Firearm	29.9%	30.8%	14.7%	33.3%	34.8%	31.5%	30.6%	22.4%	24.5%	30.6%	40.8%	37.9%

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Violent Crimes	1,782	2,189	2,666	2,350	2,302	2,029	2,231	2,036	2,067	2,105	1.8%
Firearm Related	862	943	1,239	861	759	682	707	590	694	755	8.8%
Percent Firearm	48.4%	43.1%	46.5%	36.6%	33.0%	33.6%	31.7%	29.0%	33.6%	35.9%	
Murder	36	53	49	50	43	28	41	31	36	42	16.7%
Firearm Related	26	39	34	39	32	23	29	14	28	29	3.6%
Percent Firearm	72.2%	73.6%	69.4%	78.0%	74.4%	82.1%	70.7%	45.2%	77.8%	69.0%	
Rape	91	100	92	121	98	92	97	97	111	92	-17.1%
Firearm Related	7	6	5	4	4	3	4	6	7	4	
Percent Firearm	7.7%	6.0%	5.4%	3.3%	4.1%	3.3%	4.1%	6.2%	6.3%	4.3%	
Robbery	932	1,026	1,332	1,032	1,059	838	817	759	816	916	12.3%
Firearm Related	478	563	738	464	445	379	374	325	345	406	17.7%
Percent Firearm	51.3%	54.9%	55.4%	45.0%	42.0%	45.2%	45.8%	42.8%	42.3%	44.3%	
Aggravated Assault	723	1,010	1,193	1,147	1,102	1,071	1,276	1,149	1,104	1,055	-4.4%
Firearm Related	351	335	462	354	278	277	300	245	314	316	0.6%
Percent Firearm	48.5%	33.2%	38.7%	30.9%	25.2%	25.9%	23.5%	21.3%	28.4%	30.0%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 02/04/2014

Schenectady City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sept 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Violent Crimes	43	49	48	31	62	42	76	58	48	53	56	35
Firearm Related	8	7	8	5	9	7	12	12	11	8	18	2
Percent Firearm	18.6%	14.3%	16.7%	16.1%	14.5%	16.7%	15.8%	20.7%	22.9%	15.1%	32.1%	5.7%
Murder	1	1	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%			0.0%			100.0%			100.0%	
Rape	3	2	4	1	3	2	4	2	2	1	5	2
Firearm Related	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Robbery	16	13	18	10	14	8	24	25	19	23	19	11
Firearm Related	6	3	4	0	1	2	6	7	5	5	9	1
Percent Firearm	37.5%	23.1%	22.2%	0.0%	7.1%	25.0%	25.0%	28.0%	26.3%	21.7%	47.4%	9.1%
Aggravated Assault	23	33	26	20	41	32	48	30	27	29	31	22
Firearm Related	2	3	4	5	8	5	6	3	6	3	7	1
Percent Firearm	8.7%	9.1%	15.4%	25.0%	19.5%	15.6%	12.5%	10.0%	22.2%	10.3%	22.6%	4.5%

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Violent Crimes	510	628	712	606	654	592	679	636	625	601	-3.8%
Firearm Related	103	148	152	143	163	125	138	125	91	107	17.6%
Percent Firearm	20.2%	23.6%	21.3%	23.6%	24.9%	21.1%	20.3%	19.7%	14.6%	17.8%	
Murder	7	8	6	5	9	7	8	4	7	8	
Firearm Related	4	4	2	4	7	5	5	2	2	2	
Percent Firearm	57.1%	50.0%	33.3%	80.0%	77.8%	71.4%	62.5%	50.0%	28.6%	25.0%	
Rape	38	43	52	34	35	31	53	40	32	31	-3.1%
Firearm Related	0	1	3	2	2	1	0	2	0	3	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	2.3%	5.8%	5.9%	5.7%	3.2%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	9.7%	
Robbery	173	252	309	265	288	243	256	205	199	200	0.5%
Firearm Related	50	69	77	64	78	65	57	51	31	49	58.1%
Percent Firearm	28.9%	27.4%	24.9%	24.2%	27.1%	26.7%	22.3%	24.9%	15.6%	24.5%	
Aggravated Assault	292	325	345	302	322	311	362	387	387	362	-6.5%
Firearm Related	49	74	70	73	76	54	76	70	58	53	-8.6%
Percent Firearm	16.8%	22.8%	20.3%	24.2%	23.6%	17.4%	21.0%	18.1%	15.0%	14.6%	

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 02/28/2014

Spring Valley Village Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sept 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Violent Crimes	11	20	7	7	15	7	14	13	8	8	11	11
Firearm Related	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
Percent Firearm	9.1%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	12.5%	9.1%	0.0%
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm											0.0%	0.0%
Rape	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2	1	1
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm		0.0%				0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1	7	1	2	4	2	5	4	1	2	3	2
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	50.0%	33.3%	0.0%
Aggravated Assault	10	12	6	5	11	4	8	9	5	4	6	7
Firearm Related	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	10.0%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Violent Crimes	190	180	183	204	202	169	139	162	212	132	-37.7%
Firearm Related	23	19	12	18	9	29	21	18	24	6	
Percent Firearm	12.1%	10.6%	6.6%	8.8%	4.5%	17.2%	15.1%	11.1%	11.3%	4.5%	
Murder	2	4	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	
Firearm Related	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	100.0%	25.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Rape	8	7	6	12	7	5	4	10	8	9	
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	73	49	71	71	63	57	43	61	67	34	-49.3%
Firearm Related	14	9	5	14	4	18	9	14	16	4	
Percent Firearm	19.2%	18.4%	7.0%	19.7%	6.3%	31.6%	20.9%	23.0%	23.9%	11.8%	
Aggravated Assault	107	120	105	121	131	107	91	91	137	87	-36.5%
Firearm Related	7	9	6	4	5	11	12	4	8	2	
Percent Firearm	6.5%	7.5%	5.7%	3.3%	3.8%	10.3%	13.2%	4.4%	5.8%	2.3%	

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 02/27/2014

Suffolk County Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sept 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Violent Crimes	115	111	107	143	138	152	137	169	139	139	106	143
Firearm Related	39	37	24	35	29	32	32	32	28	34	31	32
Percent Firearm	33.9%	33.3%	22.4%	24.5%	21.0%	21.1%	23.4%	18.9%	20.1%	24.5%	29.2%	22.4%
Murder	3	1	0	0	4	1	1	4	4	1	4	4
Firearm Related	1	1	0	0	3	1	0	2	3	0	1	0
Percent Firearm	33.3%	100.0%			75.0%	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%	75.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Rape	2	1	4	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	4
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	47	52	33	54	55	53	51	69	46	50	46	64
Firearm Related	20	25	17	21	15	13	17	19	15	25	18	27
Percent Firearm	42.6%	48.1%	51.5%	38.9%	27.3%	24.5%	33.3%	27.5%	32.6%	50.0%	39.1%	42.2%
Aggravated Assault	63	57	70	87	78	97	83	95	87	87	55	71
Firearm Related	18	11	7	14	11	18	15	11	10	9	12	5
Percent Firearm	28.6%	19.3%	10.0%	16.1%	14.1%	18.6%	18.1%	11.6%	11.5%	10.3%	21.8%	7.0%

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Violent Crimes	2,268	2,446	2,481	2,204	2,165	2,267	2,031	1,862	1,789	1,599	-10.6%
Firearm Related	493	662	605	523	544	561	528	510	431	385	-10.7%
Percent Firearm	21.7%	27.1%	24.4%	23.7%	25.1%	24.7%	26.0%	27.4%	24.1%	24.1%	
Murder	28	28	37	28	38	32	50	32	23	27	17.4%
Firearm Related	13	12	19	14	22	16	29	15	12	12	0.0%
Percent Firearm	46.4%	42.9%	51.4%	50.0%	57.9%	50.0%	58.0%	46.9%	52.2%	44.4%	
Rape	109	82	86	87	91	62	55	42	41	22	-46.3%
Firearm Related	1	3	3	3	4	0	1	0	4	0	
Percent Firearm	0.9%	3.7%	3.5%	3.4%	4.4%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	9.8%	0.0%	
Robbery	845	1,037	1,027	871	890	960	814	748	681	620	-9.0%
Firearm Related	286	383	351	281	285	314	304	300	252	232	-7.9%
Percent Firearm	33.8%	36.9%	34.2%	32.3%	32.0%	32.7%	37.3%	40.1%	37.0%	37.4%	
Aggravated Assault	1,286	1,299	1,331	1,218	1,146	1,213	1,112	1,040	1,044	930	-10.9%
Firearm Related	193	264	232	225	233	231	194	195	163	141	-13.5%
Percent Firearm	15.0%	20.3%	17.4%	18.5%	20.3%	19.0%	17.4%	18.8%	15.6%	15.2%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 02/27/2014

Syracuse City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sept 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Violent Crimes	88	68	86	81	109	113	112	104	127	102	100	102
Firearm Related	26	16	14	14	28	26	25	22	29	22	20	20
Percent Firearm	29.5%	23.5%	16.3%	17.3%	25.7%	23.0%	22.3%	21.2%	22.8%	21.6%	20.0%	19.6%
Murder	1	2	2	0	5	2	3	1	1	4	0	0
Firearm Related	1	2	2	0	2	2	2	1	0	2	0	0
Percent Firearm	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		40.0%	100.0%	66.7%	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%		
Rape	9	6	8	5	10	5	1	7	4	10	5	5
Firearm Related	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	29	25	24	33	27	26	35	44	34	42	40	41
Firearm Related	13	7	7	8	8	5	8	8	10	10	13	15
Percent Firearm	44.8%	28.0%	29.2%	24.2%	29.6%	19.2%	22.9%	18.2%	29.4%	23.8%	32.5%	36.6%
Aggravated Assault	49	35	52	43	67	80	73	52	88	46	55	56
Firearm Related	12	7	4	6	17	19	15	13	19	10	7	5
Percent Firearm	24.5%	20.0%	7.7%	14.0%	25.4%	23.8%	20.5%	25.0%	21.6%	21.7%	12.7%	8.9%

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Violent Crimes	1,322	1,570	1,515	1,435	1,366	1,343	1,291	1,302	1,372	1,192	-13.1%
Firearm Related	270	365	343	317	258	270	314	264	301	262	-13.0%
Percent Firearm	20.4%	23.2%	22.6%	22.1%	18.9%	20.1%	24.3%	20.3%	21.9%	22.0%	
Murder	16	19	12	19	24	18	15	11	14	21	50.0%
Firearm Related	5	10	8	9	14	10	12	5	11	14	27.3%
Percent Firearm	31.3%	52.6%	66.7%	47.4%	58.3%	55.6%	80.0%	45.5%	78.6%	66.7%	
Rape	70	73	66	67	71	70	68	63	75	75	0.0%
Firearm Related	4	4	0	1	5	2	1	0	3	2	
Percent Firearm	5.7%	5.5%	0.0%	1.5%	7.0%	2.9%	1.5%	0.0%	4.0%	2.7%	
Robbery	451	554	534	446	419	403	377	388	454	400	-11.9%
Firearm Related	123	178	184	139	94	125	121	115	138	112	-18.8%
Percent Firearm	27.3%	32.1%	34.5%	31.2%	22.4%	31.0%	32.1%	29.6%	30.4%	28.0%	
Aggravated Assault	785	924	903	903	852	852	831	840	829	696	-16.0%
Firearm Related	138	173	151	168	145	133	180	144	149	134	-10.1%
Percent Firearm	17.6%	18.7%	16.7%	18.6%	17.0%	15.6%	21.7%	17.1%	18.0%	19.3%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 02/26/2014

Troy City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sept 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Violent Crimes	28	25	24	25	41	28	40	32	27	27	39	35
Firearm Related	11	2	0	4	10	3	11	9	6	4	8	13
Percent Firearm	39.3%	8.0%	0.0%	16.0%	24.4%	10.7%	27.5%	28.1%	22.2%	14.8%	20.5%	37.1%
Murder	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm		0.0%										
Rape	0	4	3	0	0	2	0	2	0	1	0	2
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm		0.0%	0.0%			0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%
Robbery	18	7	4	11	12	7	16	13	9	9	16	23
Firearm Related	8	2	0	3	4	2	3	4	2	2	3	11
Percent Firearm	44.4%	28.6%	0.0%	27.3%	33.3%	28.6%	18.8%	30.8%	22.2%	22.2%	18.8%	47.8%
Aggravated Assault	10	13	17	14	29	19	24	17	18	17	23	10
Firearm Related	3	0	0	1	6	1	8	5	4	2	5	2
Percent Firearm	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	20.7%	5.3%	33.3%	29.4%	22.2%	11.8%	21.7%	20.0%

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Violent Crimes	298	309	373	345	392	349	423	371	334	371	11.1%
Firearm Related	39	52	69	72	80	84	71	94	89	81	-9.0%
Percent Firearm	13.1%	16.8%	18.5%	20.9%	20.4%	24.1%	16.8%	25.3%	26.6%	21.8%	
Murder	1	4	1	2	5	3	2	0	7	1	
Firearm Related	1	2	0	0	2	1	1	0	4	0	
Percent Firearm	100.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	33.3%	50.0%	0.0%	57.1%	0.0%	
Rape	30	18	18	21	19	17	22	23	14	14	0.0%
Firearm Related	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	84	78	120	131	152	154	137	112	111	145	30.6%
Firearm Related	15	17	35	40	49	44	45	36	37	44	18.9%
Percent Firearm	17.9%	21.8%	29.2%	30.5%	32.2%	28.6%	32.8%	32.1%	33.3%	30.3%	
Aggravated Assault	183	209	234	191	216	175	262	236	202	211	4.5%
Firearm Related	19	33	34	32	28	39	25	58	48	37	-22.9%
Percent Firearm	10.4%	15.8%	14.5%	16.8%	13.0%	22.3%	9.5%	24.6%	23.8%	17.5%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 02/03/2014

Utica City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sept 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Violent Crimes	31	26	15	23	29	26	34	30	44	28	33	37
Firearm Related	9	9	2	5	5	4	9	1	9	8	11	8
Percent Firearm	29.0%	34.6%	13.3%	21.7%	17.2%	15.4%	26.5%	3.3%	20.5%	28.6%	33.3%	21.6%
Murder	0	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
Firearm Related	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
Percent Firearm		100.0%	50.0%			0.0%	100.0%				100.0%	100.0%
Rape	4	3	2	1	2	2	3	3	2	1	2	2
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	7	8	4	8	7	1	7	11	14	8	15	10
Firearm Related	5	3	1	3	0	0	2	1	2	4	7	0
Percent Firearm	71.4%	37.5%	25.0%	37.5%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	9.1%	14.3%	50.0%	46.7%	0.0%
Aggravated Assault	20	14	7	14	20	22	23	16	28	19	15	24
Firearm Related	4	5	0	2	5	4	6	0	7	4	3	7
Percent Firearm	20.0%	35.7%	0.0%	14.3%	25.0%	18.2%	26.1%	0.0%	25.0%	21.1%	20.0%	29.2%

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Violent Crimes	287	268	435	434	478	477	416	383	408	356	-12.7%
Firearm Related	58	42	94	113	138	127	96	84	73	80	9.6%
Percent Firearm	20.2%	15.7%	21.6%	26.0%	28.9%	26.6%	23.1%	21.9%	17.9%	22.5%	
Murder	5	8	6	5	4	5	2	4	1	7	
Firearm Related	3	5	2	4	3	3	2	2	1	5	
Percent Firearm	60.0%	62.5%	33.3%	80.0%	75.0%	60.0%	100.0%	50.0%	100.0%	71.4%	
Rape	17	18	20	21	20	18	25	17	23	27	17.4%
Firearm Related	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	139	133	140	143	181	149	146	103	127	100	-21.3%
Firearm Related	37	22	27	35	53	50	36	21	31	28	-9.7%
Percent Firearm	26.6%	16.5%	19.3%	24.5%	29.3%	33.6%	24.7%	20.4%	24.4%	28.0%	
Aggravated Assault	126	109	269	265	273	305	243	259	257	222	-13.6%
Firearm Related	18	14	65	74	82	74	58	61	41	47	14.6%
Percent Firearm	14.3%	12.8%	24.2%	27.9%	30.0%	24.3%	23.9%	23.6%	16.0%	21.2%	

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 02/26/2014

Yonkers City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sept 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Violent Crimes	88	41	62	89	101	118	92	94	72	100	82	97
Firearm Related	9	5	1	6	11	4	9	10	2	12	12	12
Percent Firearm	10.2%	12.2%	1.6%	6.7%	10.9%	3.4%	9.8%	10.6%	2.8%	12.0%	14.6%	12.4%
Murder	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	1
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
Percent Firearm			0.0%		0.0%		50.0%			100.0%		100.0%
Rape	3	1	1	0	4	2	1	2	4	1	2	4
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	29	16	22	25	38	42	36	36	25	52	30	39
Firearm Related	6	3	0	2	5	2	7	7	1	8	8	10
Percent Firearm	20.7%	18.8%	0.0%	8.0%	13.2%	4.8%	19.4%	19.4%	4.0%	15.4%	26.7%	25.6%
Aggravated Assault	56	24	38	64	58	74	53	56	43	46	50	53
Firearm Related	3	2	1	4	6	2	1	3	1	3	4	1
Percent Firearm	5.4%	8.3%	2.6%	6.3%	10.3%	2.7%	1.9%	5.4%	2.3%	6.5%	8.0%	1.9%


	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>% Change 2012-13</u>
Violent Crimes	942	970	978	878	914	965	893	1,097	1,133	1,036	-8.6%
Firearm Related	122	123	127	79	85	138	120	130	109	93	-14.7%
Percent Firearm	13.0%	12.7%	13.0%	9.0%	9.3%	14.3%	13.4%	11.9%	9.6%	9.0%	
Murder	15	9	8	10	9	8	9	7	4	6	
Firearm Related	8	6	4	5	5	6	6	5	2	3	
Percent Firearm	53.3%	66.7%	50.0%	50.0%	55.6%	75.0%	66.7%	71.4%	50.0%	50.0%	
Rape	23	21	31	44	42	36	29	36	28	25	-10.7%
Firearm Related	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	457	518	498	424	447	475	455	463	422	390	-7.6%
Firearm Related	90	88	80	44	50	90	79	76	76	59	-22.4%
Percent Firearm	19.7%	17.0%	16.1%	10.4%	11.2%	18.9%	17.4%	16.4%	18.0%	15.1%	
Aggravated Assault	447	422	441	400	416	446	400	591	679	615	-9.4%
Firearm Related	24	28	43	29	30	41	35	49	31	31	0.0%
Percent Firearm	5.4%	6.6%	9.8%	7.3%	7.2%	9.2%	8.8%	8.3%	4.6%	5.0%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Note: Yonkers City Police Department's procedural and classification errors prior to 2011 resulted in under-counts in the crime category of aggravated assault.

Firearm Activity Report 2012 vs. 2013

This section includes the December monthly report which also includes full year 2013 data. This report shows the monthly and year-to-date firearm activity for each of the 17 primary jurisdictions.



Firearm Activity Primary IMPACT Jurisdictions

Prepared by Division of Criminal Justice Services

January - December 2013 vs. 2012

As of 03/03/2014

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
IMPACT TOTAL						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	55	63	14.5%	741	709	-4.3%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	59	76	28.8%	867	828	-4.5%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	9	17		119	127	6.7%
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	156	136	-12.8%	2,871	2,893	0.8%

Notes: all data is preliminary and subject to change. IBR data has been converted to UCR categories. Percent change is not calculated when counts are less than 10.

Includes the 17 primary IMPACT Jurisdictions: Albany, Binghamton, Buffalo, Jamestown, Kingston, Nassau, Newburgh, Niagara Falls, Poughkeepsie, Rochester, Schenectady, Spring Valley, Suffolk, Syracuse, Troy, Utica, and Yonkers.

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
ALBANY CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	5		27	33	22.2%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1	5		31	37	19.4%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	2		3	5	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	6	4		89	128	43.8%

BINGHAMTON CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	0		8	2	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	2	0		8	2	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	0		2	1	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	4	0		40	29	-27.5%

BUFFALO CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	11	21	90.9%	217	171	-21.2%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	15	23	53.3%	268	201	-25.0%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	4	6		41	35	-14.6%
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	38	19	-50.0%	560	516	-7.9%

JAMESTOWN CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0		0	1	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0		0	1	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		0	0	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	0	1		2	17	

KINGSTON CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0		1	2	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0		10	2	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		0	0	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	1	0		1	12	

NASSAU COUNTY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	4	7		39	34	-12.8%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	4	8		44	40	-9.1%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	2		7	9	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	24	19	-20.8%	492	556	13.0%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
NEWBURGH CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	4	4		36	36	0.0%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	4	4		43	41	-4.7%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		4	4	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	1	9		59	50	-15.3%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
NIAGARA FALLS CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	4	2		25	20	-20.0%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	4	4		25	23	-8.0%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		1	3	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	1	6		37	198	435.1%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
POUGHKEEPSIE CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	3	0		13	32	146.2%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	3	0		17	34	100.0%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	0		1	5	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	0	1		35	48	37.1%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
ROCHESTER CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	13	12	-7.7%	194	192	-1.0%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	13	15	15.4%	218	228	4.6%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	5		28	29	3.6%
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	38	33	-13.2%	914	595	-34.9%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
SCHENECTADY CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	0		21	15	-28.6%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1	0		24	16	-33.3%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		2	2	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	3	4		22	29	31.8%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
SPRING VALLEY VILLAGE PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0		1	0	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0		1	0	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		0	0	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	0	0		1	3	

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
SUFFOLK COUNTY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	6	3		53	57	7.5%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	6	7		55	70	27.3%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		12	12	0.0%
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	32	13	-59.4%	300	379	26.3%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
SYRACUSE CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	5	4		78	74	-5.1%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	5	4		94	89	-5.3%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	2	0		11	14	27.3%
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	0	13		130	165	26.9%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
TROY CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0		11	7	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0		11	9	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		4	0	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	3	1		63	31	-50.8%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
UTICA CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	3		9	21	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1	3		9	21	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	1		1	5	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	3	2		40	60	50.0%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2012	2013	% Change	2012	2013	% Change
YONKERS CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	2		8	12	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	3		9	14	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	1		2	3	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	2	11		86	77	-10.5%

Firearm Activity Trend Tables

Firearm Activity

As of 03/03/2014

Primary IMPACT Total

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	61	36	39	41	65	66	72	79	71	57	59	63
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	67	41	45	47	76	81	83	93	82	71	66	76
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	6	8	8	4	14	13	13	13	11	11	9	17
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	219	420	330	313	187	269	280	218	170	214	137	136
Totals	2006	2007	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	% Change			
									<u>2012-13</u>			
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	896	766	822	774	794	746	741	709	-4.3%			
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1,007	836	930	922	925	849	867	828	-4.5%			
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	143	138	137	146	156	84	119	127	6.7%			
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	2,426	2,606	2,696	3,292	2,729	2,438	2,871	2,893	0.8%			

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 02/10/2014

Albany City Police Department

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	1	1	2	1	3	2	5	8	3	1	5
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1	1	2	4	1	3	2	5	9	3	1	5
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	33	6	2	6	4	14	14	9	16	11	9	4
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	% Change			
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	35	47	44	27	37	44	27	33	22.2%			
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	46	55	53	29	41	48	31	37	19.4%			
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	3	3	6	7	1	2	3	5				
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	93	104	144	89	159	95	89	128	43.8%			

Firearm Activity

As of 02/03/2014

Binghamton City Police Department

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	5	0	4	1	3	7	0	2	3	2	2	0
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	% Change			
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	6	2	1	6	11	2	8	2				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	6	1	1	24	11	2	8	2				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	1	1	14	2	0	2	1				
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	18	21	19	22	20	36	40	29	-27.5%			

Note: In Binghamton, the 13 homicides reported in April 2009 reflect a single incident where 13 victims were killed by one gunman during a mass shooting on April 3rd, 2009. The April 2009 homicides are counted as: 1 incident, 17 shooting victims, 13 killed.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 02/03/2014

Buffalo City Police Department

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	14	9	12	12	9	11	16	22	14	17	14	21
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	17	12	13	12	9	16	19	24	15	24	17	23
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	1	3	3	3	3	5	4	1	3	2	6
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	45	49	40	58	44	71	53	38	33	41	25	19
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	% Change			
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	252	180	220	261	220	229	217	171	-21.2%			
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	277	196	238	306	262	255	268	201	-25.0%			
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	53	42	30	50	43	29	41	35	-14.6%			
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	592	791	723	744	705	611	560	516	-7.9%			

Firearm Activity

As of 02/11/2014

Jamestown City Police Department

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	1	7	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	3	1
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	% Change			
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	0	1	0	1	1	0	1				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	7	0	1	0	1	1	0	1				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	5	3	12	10	1	3	2	17				

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 02/05/2014

Kingston City Police Department

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	5	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	0
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	% Change			
											<u>2012-13</u>	
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	3	3	4	5	6	5	1	2				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	3	3	4	5	8	6	10	2				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0				
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	7	12	11	13	4	7	1	12				

Firearm Activity

As of 02/04/2014

Nassau County Police Department

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	4	1	3	0	4	2	2	4	3	1	3	7
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	5	1	4	0	4	2	3	4	5	1	3	8
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	2
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	26	216	42	36	25	36	88	17	24	21	6	19
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	% Change			
											<u>2012-13</u>	
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	57	75	79	61	59	41	39	34	-12.8%			
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	60	76	97	81	68	45	44	40	-9.1%			
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	9	12	4	3	12	3	7	9				
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	307	298	299	710	328	300	492	556	13.0%			

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 03/01/2014

Newburgh City Police Department

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	5	0	3	3	2	3	7	1	4	3	1	4
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	5	0	3	3	4	3	8	1	6	3	1	4
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	6	2	4	3	2	2	5	4	2	9	2	9
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	% Change			
										<u>2012-13</u>		
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	4	15	12	19	30	28	36	36	0.0%			
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	4	17	12	20	31	35	43	41	-4.7%			
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	0	5	2	8	0	4	4				
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	30	33	25	55	77	30	59	50	-15.3%			

Firearm Activity

As of 02/05/2014

Niagara Falls City Police Department

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	2	1	0	4	4	0	1	2	2	1	2
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1	2	1	0	4	4	0	1	2	3	1	4
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	2	30	111	14	2	3	11	2	13	4	0	6
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	% Change			
										<u>2012-13</u>		
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	28	19	16	13	18	22	25	20	-20.0%			
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	32	21	16	15	18	24	25	23	-8.0%			
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	3	3	3	4	3	2	1	3				
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	53	66	90	59	66	86	37	198	435.1%			

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 02/14/2014

Poughkeepsie City Police Department

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	3	3	2	1	3	5	5	5	2	1	2	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	3	3	2	1	3	7	5	5	2	1	2	0
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	0	5	7	0	0	0	0	12	0	14	9	1
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	% Change			
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	15	7	22	17	15	17	13	32	146.2%			
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	15	9	24	19	15	19	17	34	100.0%			
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	3	1	3	2	2	5	1	5				
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	39	22	46	33	41	44	35	48	37.1%			

Firearm Activity

As of 02/14/2014

Rochester City Police Department

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	16	7	11	17	22	21	22	18	14	12	20	12
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	16	7	13	20	26	27	24	24	16	16	24	15
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	2	2	1	0	1	4	2	4	3	2	3	5
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	61	35	46	52	66	56	60	44	42	55	45	33
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	% Change			
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	249	176	157	126	155	131	194	192	-1.0%			
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	276	194	183	152	172	143	218	228	4.6%			
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	34	39	32	23	29	14	28	29	3.6%			
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	390	468	416	580	622	590	914	595	-34.9%			

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 02/27/2014

Schenectady City Police Department

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	5	2	1	2	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	6	2	1	2	0
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	1	4	1	5	0	0	0	3	6	0	5	4
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	% Change			
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	20	21	20	15	24	21	21	15	-28.6%			
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	27	24	20	16	24	26	24	16	-33.3%			
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	2	4	7	5	5	2	2	2				
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	51	57	46	37	18	7	22	29	31.8%			

Firearm Activity

As of 02/07/2014

Spring Valley Village Police Department

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	% Change			
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	0				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	0				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	5	6	9	7	5	2	1	3				

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 02/27/2014

Suffolk County Police Department

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	5	4	1	3	7	5	4	7	6	8	4	3
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	6	5	1	4	7	7	5	9	7	8	4	7
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	1	0	0	3	1	0	2	3	0	1	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	19	35	43	121	11	57	18	29	13	8	12	13
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	% Change			
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	86	74	93	84	81	77	53	57	7.5%			
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	93	80	93	91	104	96	55	70	27.3%			
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	19	14	22	16	29	15	12	12	0.0%			
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	313	342	336	422	276	287	300	379	26.3%			

Firearm Activity

As of 02/12/2014

Syracuse City Police Department

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	9	4	3	2	5	9	6	10	10	5	7	4
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	10	5	4	2	9	9	7	13	12	7	7	4
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	2	2	0	2	2	2	1	0	2	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	3	15	17	0	13	8	14	40	8	29	5	13
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	% Change			
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	79	95	93	73	80	86	78	74	-5.1%			
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	91	105	109	86	100	99	94	89	-5.3%			
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	8	9	14	10	12	5	11	14	27.3%			
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	332	218	281	324	267	182	130	165	26.9%			

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 03/03/2014

Troy City Police Department

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	2	0	0	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1	0	0	0	1	0	5	0	2	0	0	0
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	3	2	4	4	2	2	1	4	0	6	2	1
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	% Change			
									<u>2012-13</u>			
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	7	2	9	14	10	11	7				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	2	8	3	9	14	14	11	9				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	2	1	1	0	4	0				
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	26	20	24	23	15	20	63	31	-50.8%			

Firearm Activity

As of 02/03/2014

Utica City Police Department

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	3	2	1	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	3
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	3	2	1	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	3
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	1	7	6	6	9	3	2	1	5	7	11	2
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	% Change			
									<u>2012-13</u>			
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	13	21	19	13	12	11	9	21				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	14	22	28	13	13	11	9	21				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	3	3	3	3	2	2	1	5				
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	42	46	63	43	44	45	40	60	50.0%			

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 02/04/2014

Yonkers City Police Department

	Jan 13	Feb 13	Mar 13	Apr 13	May 13	Jun 13	Jul 13	Aug 13	Sep 13	Oct 13	Nov 13	Dec 13
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	1	0	0	3	0	1	1	1	2	1	2
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	1	0	0	4	0	1	1	1	2	1	3
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	7	6	2	5	5	7	13	11	4	6	0	11
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	% Change			
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	44	24	39	43	31	21	8	12				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	52	25	48	54	43	25	9	14				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	4	5	5	6	6	5	2	3				
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	123	99	152	121	81	93	86	77	-10.5%			

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.